UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
MEMORANDUM

December 17, 2018

To: Public Information
From: Plan Coordinator, OLP, Plans Section
(GM 235D)

Subject: Public Information copy of plan

Control #	-	S-07920
Type	-	Supplemental Exploration Plan
Lease(s)	-	OCS-G27982 Block - 834 Ewing Bank Area OCS-G35960 Block - 833 Ewing Bank Area
Operator	-	Walter Oil & Gas Corporation
Description	-	Subsea Well A
Rig Type	-	Not Found

Attached is a copy of the subject plan.

It has been deemed submitted as of this date and is under review for approval.

Chiquita Hill
Plan Coordinator

Site Type/Name	Botm Lse/Area/Blk	Surface Location	Surf Lse/Area/Blk
WELL/A	G27982/EW/834	3200 FSL, 5940 FEL	G35960/EW/833

Record of Changes – PUBLIC COPY
S-7920, SEP, Walter Oil & Gas Corporation, (OCS-G 35960 / 27982, Ewing Bank Block 833 and 834)

Date	Section	Page	Remarks
11/6/18			Plan received by BOEM
11/15/18	2	Attachment 2-A	Include initial blowout scenario
11/27/18	7	Attachment 7-A	Amend AQR to include drilling and sidetrack operations
11/27/18	7	11	Include statement re: historic fuel usage
11/27/18	1	Attachment 1-A	Amend Activity Schedule
12/3/18	7	Attachment 7-A	Amend AQR to show gallons per day average usage
12/6/18			Provide full complete copy to BOEM

PUBLIC COPY
November 5, 2018

SUPPLEMENTAL EXPLORATION PLAN

WALTER OIL & GAS CORPORATION

Ewing Bank Blocks 833 / 834
OCS-G 35960 / 27982

Estimated Startup Date: March 21, 2019

SUBMITTED BY:
Walter Oil & Gas Corporation
1100 Louisiana
Suite 200
Houston, TX 77002

Judy Archer
(713) 659-1222
jarcher@walteroil.com

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:
Dena Rodriguez
J. Connor Consulting, Inc.
19219 Katy Freeway, Suite 200
Houston, Texas 77094
(281) 578-3388
dena.rodriguez@jccteam.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION ATTACHMENTS

Section 1	**Plan Contents**
1-A	OCS Plan Information Form
1-B	Well Location Plat
1-C	Pay.gov Receipt
Section 3	**Geological, Geophysical Information**
3-A	Proprietary
3-B	Proprietary
Section 6	**Wastes and Discharges Information**
6-A	Wastes You Will Generate, Treat and Downhole Dispose or Discharge to the GOM
Section 7	**Air Emissions Information**
7-A	Emissions Worksheets
Section 8	**Oil Spill Information**
8-A	Oil Spill Response Discussion
Section 12	**Support Vessels and Aircraft Information**
12-A	Waste You Will Transport and/or Dispose Onshore
12-B	Vicinity Map
Section 15	**Environmental Impact Analysis (EIA)**
15-A	Environmental Impact Analysis (EIA)

SECTION 1
PLAN CONTENTS

1.1 PLAN INFORMATION

Walter Oil & Gas Corporation (Walter) was granted approval on June 29, 2018 under Revised Exploration Plan Control No. R-6712 to drill, complete and test one well and install a subsea tree if the well is successful. The well has not yet been drilled.

Under this Supplemental Exploration Plan, Walter proposes to sidetrack Location A from Ewing Bank Block 833 to Ewing Bank Block 834. The well will be drilled with a dynamically positioned semi-submersible MODU and is located in approximately 1,388 feet of water.

The OCS Plan Information Form BOEM-137 is included as **Attachment 1-A**.

1.2 LOCATION

A Well Location Plat depicting the surface location and water depth is included as **Attachment 1-B**.

No anchors are associated with the activities proposed in this plan.

1.3 SAFETY AND POLLUTION PREVENTION FEATURES

Walter proposes to drill the well with a dynamically positioned semi-submersible which is equipped with a Subsea BOP. BOP information and schematics will be included as a part of the Application for Permit to Drill.

The rig will be equipped with safety and fire-fighting equipment required to comply with United States Coast Guard (USCG) regulations. Appropriate lifesaving equipment such as life rafts, life jackets, ring buoys, etc. as prescribed by the USCG, will be maintained on the rig at all times.

Safety features on the drilling unit will include well control, pollution prevention, and blowout prevention equipment as described in BSEE regulations 30 CFR 250 C, D, E, O, Q and S; and as further clarified by BSEE Notices to Lessees, and current policy making invoked by the BSEE, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the USCG.

Pollution prevention measures include installation of curbs, gutters, drip pans, and drains on drilling deck areas to collect all contaminants and debris. Compliance will be maintained with the EPA NPDES Permit. The rig will be monitored daily and any waste or fuel resulting in pollution of the Gulf waters will be reported to the representative in charge for immediate isolation and correction of the problem. All spills will be reported to the appropriate governmental agencies.

1.4 STORAGE TANKS AND PRODUCTION VESSELS

The table below provides storage tanks with capacity of 25 barrels or more that will store fuels, oil and lubricants.

Type of Storage Tank	Type of Facility	Tank Capacity (bbl)	Number of Tanks	Total Capacity (bbl)	Fluid Gravity (API)
1PS	MODU	6,397	1	6,397	32.4°
2PS	MODU	9,021	1	9,021	32.4°
1SB	MODU	6,397	1	6,397	32.4°
2SB	MODU	9,021	1	9,021	32.4°
ER1 Day Tank + Settling Tank	MODU	539	1	539	32.4°
ER2 Day Tank + Settling Tank	MODU	552	1	552	32.4°
ER3 Day Tank + Settling Tank	MODU	490	1	490	32.4°
ER4 Day Tank + Settling Tank	MODU	484	1	484	32.4°

1.5 POLLUTION PREVENTION MEASURES

These operations do not propose activities for which the State of Florida is an affected state.

1.6 ADDITIONAL MEASURES

Walter does not propose any additional safety, pollution prevention, or early spill detection measures beyond those required by 30 CFR 250.

1.7 COST RECOVERY FEE

Documentation of the $3,673 cost recovery fee payment is included as **Attachment 1-C.**

U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Ocean Energy Management

Attachment 1-A

OMB Control Number: 1010-0151
OMB Approval Expires: 06/30/2021

OCS PLAN INFORMATION FORM

General Information

Type of OCS Plan:	X	Exploration Plan (EP)		Development Operations Coordination Document (DOCD)			
Company Name: Walter Oil & Gas Corporation				BOEM Operator Number: 00730			
Address: 1100 Louisiana, Suite 200				Contact Person: Dena Rodriguez			
Houston, TX 77002-5299				Phone Number: 281-578-3388			
				E-Mail Address: dena.rodriguez@jccteam.com			
If a service fee is required under 30 CFR 550.125(a), provide the			Amount paid	$3,673.00	Receipt No.	26CSM69K	

Project and Worst Case Discharge (WCD) Information

Lease: OCS-G 35960			Area: Ewing Bank				Block: 833			Project Name (If Applicable): N/A	
Objectives	X	Oil	X	Gas		Sulphur		Salt	Onshore Support Bases: Fourchon, LA; Galliano, LA		
Platform / Well Name: Well Location A				Total Volume of WCD: 11,950,758 bbls					API Gravity: 23.7°		
Distance to Closest Land (Miles): 65							Volume from uncontrolled blowout: 112,743 bbls/day				

Have you previously provided information to verify the calculations and assumptions for your WCD?	X	Yes		No
If so, provide the Control Number of the EP or DOCD with which this information was provided	N-9996			
Do you propose to use new or unusual technology to conduct your activities?		Yes	X	No
Do you propose to use a vessel with anchors to install or modify a structure?		Yes	X	No
Do you propose any facility that will serve as a host facility for deepwater subsea development?		Yes	X	No

Description of Proposed Activities and Tentative Schedule (Mark all that apply)

Proposed Activity	Start Date	End Date	No. of Days
Drill Well Location A	01/01/2019	05/20/2019	140
Sidetrack, Complete and Test Well Location A, install subsea tree	05/21/2019	07/04/2019	45

Description of Drilling Rig

	Jackup		Drillship		Caisson		Tension leg platform
	Gorilla Jackup		Platform rig		Fixed platform		Compliant tower
	Semisubmersible		Submersible		Spar		Guyed tower
X	DP Semisubmersible		Other (Attach description)		Floating production system		Other (Attach description)
Drilling Rig Name (If known): Sevan Louisiana or equivalent DP semi-submersible							

Description of Structure

Description of Lease Term Pipelines

From (Facility/Area/Block)	To (Facility/Area/Block)	Diameter (Inches)	Length (Feet)
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

OCS PLAN INFORMATION FORM (CONTINUED)
Include one copy of this page for each proposed well/structure

Proposed Well/Structure Location

Well or Structure Name/Number (If renaming well or structure, reference previous name): Well Location A					Previously reviewed under an approved EP or DOCD?		Yes	X	No	
Is this an existing well or structure?		Yes	X	No	If this is an existing well or structure, list the Complex ID or API No.					
Do you plan to use a subsea BOP or a surface BOP on a floating facility to conduct your proposed activities?						X	Yes		No	

WCD Info	For wells, volume of uncontrolled blowout (Bbls/Day): 112,743 bbls/day	For structures, volume of all storage and pipelines (Bbls): N/A	API Gravity of fluid	23.7°

	Surface Location	**Bottom-Hole Location (For Wells)**	**Completion (For multiple completions, enter separate lines)**
Lease No.	OCS-G 35960	OCS-G 27982	OCS OCS
Area Name	Ewing Bank	Ewing Bank	
Block No.	833	834	
Blockline Departures (in feet)	N/S Departure: 3,200' FSL	N/S Departure:	N/S Departure F __ L N/S Departure F __ L N/S Departure F __ L
	E/W Departure: 5,940' FEL	E/W Departure:	E/W Departure F __ L E/W Departure F __ L E/W Departure F __ L
Lambert X-Y coordinates	X: 2,607,660'	X:	X: X: X:
	Y: 10,220,000'	Y:	Y: Y: Y:
Latitude/ Longitude	Latitude: 28° 07' 47.6292" N	Latitude:	Latitude Latitude Latitude
	Longitude: 89° 59' 55.5206" W	Longitude:	Longitude Longitude Longitude

Water Depth (Feet): 1,388'	MD (Feet):	TVD (Feet):	MD (Feet): MD (Feet): MD (Feet):	TVD (Feet): TVD (Feet): TVD (Feet):
Anchor Radius (if applicable) in feet: N/A				

Anchor Locations for Drilling Rig or Construction Barge (If anchor radius supplied above, not necessary)

Anchor Name or No.	Area	Block	X Coordinate	Y Coordinate	Length of Anchor Chain on Seafloor
N/A					

EW833
OCS-G-35960
Walter

EW834
OCS-G-27982
Walter

PROPOSED LOCATIONS NAD27 BLM15 (NORTH)							
LOCATION	CALLNS	CALLEW	X COORDINATE	Y COORDINATE	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	WD
WELL A	3200.00' FSL	5940.00' FEL	2,607,660.00'	10,220,000.00'	28°07'47.6292"N	89°59'55.5206"W	1,388'

WELL A

Attachment 1-B

PUBLIC INFORMATION

WALTER OIL & GAS CORPORATION

EXPLORATION PLAN
OCS-G-27982
BLOCK 834
EWING BANK AREA
GULF OF MEXICO

Geodetic Datum: NAD27
Projection: BLM 15 (NORTH)
Grid Units: US SURVEY FEET

SCALE 1:24000 0 2000 FEET

FUGRO USA MARINE, INC.
6100 Hillcroft Ave.
Houston, Texas 77081
(713) 346-3700

Job No.: 18015074 | Date: 10/15/2018 | Drwn: MM | Chart: 1 Of: 1

DWG File: 1801507401_EW833-834_EP_A_G27982 REV.1

10/15/2018

Judy Archer

From: notification@pay.gov
Sent: Monday, October 15, 2018 12:15 PM
To: Judy Archer
Subject: Pay.gov Payment Confirmation: BOEM Exploration Plan - BF

Your payment has been submitted to Pay.gov and the details are below. If you have any questions regarding this payment, please contact Brenda Dickerson at (703) 787-1617 or BseeAccountsReceivable@bsee.gov.

Application Name: BOEM Exploration Plan - BF
Pay.gov Tracking ID: 26CSM69K
Agency Tracking ID: 75594678803
Transaction Type: Sale
Transaction Date: 10/15/2018 01:14:37 PM EDT

Account Holder Name: Brenda A. Roliard

Transaction Amount: $3,673.00
Card Type: AmericanExpress
Card Number: **********1073

Region: Gulf of Mexico
Contact: Judy Archer (713) 659-1222
Company Name/No: Walter Oil & Gas Corporation, 00730
Lease Number(s): 35960, 27982, , ,
Area-Block: Ewing Bank EW, 833: , 834: , : , : ,
Surface Locations: 1

THIS IS AN AUTOMATED MESSAGE. PLEASE DO NOT REPLY.

SECTION 2
GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1 APPLICATIONS AND PERMITS

The table below provides all additional applications to be filed covering operations proposed in this EP.

Application/Permit	Issuing Agency	Status
Application for Permit to Sidetrack	BSEE	To Be Submitted

2.2 DRILLING FLUIDS

The table below provides the types and estimated volumes of the drilling fluids Walter plans to use to drill the proposed well.

Type of Drilling Fluid	Estimated Volume of Drilling Fluid to be Used per Well (bbl)
Water-based (seawater, freshwater, barite)	N/A
Oil-based (diesel, mineral oil)	N/A
Synthetic-based (internal olefin, ester)	6,000

2.3 NEW OR UNUSUAL TECHNOLOGY

No new or unusual technology is proposed in this EP as defined by 30 CFR 550.200.

2.4 BONDING STATEMENT

The bond requirements for the activities and facilities proposed in this DOCD are satisfied by an area-wide bond, furnished and maintained according to 30 CFR 556.900 (a) and 30 CFR 556.901 (a) and (b) and NTL No. 2015-BOEM-N04, "General Financial Assurance"; and additional security under 30 CFR 556.901(d) – (f) and NTL No. 2016—BOEM-N01, “Requiring Additional Security” as required by BOEM.

2.5 OIL SPILL FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (OSFR)

Walter Oil & Gas Corporation (Company No. 00730) will demonstrate oil spill financial responsibility for the facilities proposed in this EP according to 30 CFR 553.15 (a); and NTL No. 2008-N05, "Guidelines for Oil Spill Financial Responsibility for Covered Facilities".

2.6 DEEPWATER WELL CONTROL STATEMENT

Walter Oil & Gas Corporation (Company No. 00730) has the financial capability to drill a relief well and conduct other emergency well control operations.

2.7 BLOWOUT SCENARIO AND WORST CASE DISCHARGE CALCULATIONS

In accordance with NTL No. 2015-BOEM-N01, “Information Requirements for Exploration Plans, Development and Production Plans, and Development Operations Coordination Documents on the OCS for Worst Case Discharge and Blowout Scenarios,” the Blowout Scenario and Worst Case Discharge Assumptions and Calculations were submitted with Exploration Plan Control No. N-9996.

ATTACHMENT 2-A

INITIAL EP
OCS-G 35960
EWING BANK BLOCK 833
LOCATION A
BLOWOUT SCENARIO DATA SUBMITTAL
NTL 2015-N01

Blowout Scenario:
There are currently two moored semi-submersible rigs (Noble Paul Romano, Ensco 8503) and one dynamic position vessel, the Seadrill Sevan Louisiana, for a total of three drilling rigs with 15,000 psi subsea blowout preventers with derrick capacity and horse power available for relief well drilling in this 1,200 foot water depth in case the floating rig has a blowout. It is estimated that a contract could be administered and additional equipment procured to drill the relief well in one hundred and six days. Availability and safety record will be predominant factor for selecting the relief well rig (two days to acquire rig, fourteen days to move on location and set anchors and ninety days to drill relief well).

Measures to prevent blowout:
Walter Oil & Gas Corporation (Walter) is developing a field in EW 834 and currently has drilled four wells to similar well depths and geology as the proposed well in EW 833. Walter will be using MWD/PWD and also using tools to be able to obtain formation pressures while drilling to mitigate risk.

Uncontrolled blowout volume (first day in bbl):
112,743 BOPD

Duration of flow (days) based on relief well:
106

Total volume of spill (bbl):
11,950,758 (flow rate X duration)

Discussion of potential for well to bridge over:
Walter does not have sufficient information to anticipate that this well would likely bridge over; therefore, discussion of the likelihood of the well to bridge over is not included in this plan. Walter does not have any empirical data.

Discussion of likelihood for surface intervention to stop blowout:
An ongoing operation for surface intervention will work in parallel to the relief well operations. "Well Control" experts will board the rig, provided there is sufficient safety, to review the possibility of surface containment; or coordinate with relief well operations to contain the blowout. Firefighting boats and derrick barges will be employed to control the heat/fire and strip away surface equipment that prohibits successful surface intervention. A capping stack/diverter arrangement could potentially be used provided wellbore/casing integrity is verified during the operation.

RELIEF WELL

Rig type capable of drilling relief well at WD and to TD:
Semi-submersible or dynamic position vessel, as described above under "Blowout Scenario"

Rig package constraints:
There are no rig package constraints.

INITIAL EP
OCS-G 35960
EWING BANK BLOCK 833
LOCATION A
BLOWOUT SCENARIO DATA SUBMITTAL
NTL 2015-N01

Estimated time to drill relief well:

Time to Acquire Rig:
2 days
Time to Move Rig Onsite:
14 days
Drilling Time:
90 days
Total Estimated Time to Drill Relief Well:
106 days

Statement whether possibility of using nearby platform was considered:
Use of a nearby platform is not feasible due to location and platform infrastructure in the area.

Additional precautions and safety procedures:
1. Complete detailed well design program for drilling operation including mud program and cement program. Safety meeting will be conducted every tour to communicate importance of operations.
2. Maintain mud properties consistent with offset wells.
3. Provide flow monitoring equipment for the rig's mud return system with real time data provided to supervisory personnel.
4. Utilize real-time gas monitoring for the purpose of measuring gas units contained in the mud system for supervisory personnel.
5. Monitor drilling breaks and check for flow. In the event of flow, mud weight will be increased to control the well.
6. Monitor trip volumes both pulling in and out of the hole. Proper fill up volumes will be measured.
7. Control surge and swab pressures
8. Circulate bottoms up before trips to ensure the well is stable and free of gas.
9. Test BOPs at a minimum of every two weeks when rams are changed or BOPs repaired.

Measures to reduce the likelihood of a blowout:
1. Contractor personnel (driller and tool pusher) have the authority to shut well(s) in should a well flow be encountered. Company personnel will be informed of the situation.
2. Company personnel will go to the floor immediately to assist Contractor personnel in industry best practices kill procedure.
3. Proper API casing design and cementing practices using centralizers as recommended by simulation to insure centralized casing and 360 degree annular fill up of cement.
4. Production casing will have two barriers (float collar and float shoe).
5. Upon bumping the plug in cement operations, floats will be checked. In the event the floats do not hold, pressure will be maintained for 6-8 hours, and then shoe tract will be rechecked. Remedial cementing or setting of bridge plug will be taken, if necessary, to isolate the shoe tract.
6. Run cement bond log to verify cement quality before displacing well with completion fluid.

Arrangements for drilling relief wells:
1. Review shallow hazards survey to determine positioning of relief well.
2. Contract and mobilize relief well rig.
3. Contract relief well direction drilling company and relief well drilling experts. Incorporate relief well trajectory and well plan.

INITIAL EP
OCS-G 35960
EWING BANK BLOCK 833
LOCATION A
BLOWOUT SCENARIO DATA SUBMITTAL
NTL 2015-N01

Arrangements for drilling relief wells: (continued)

4. Prepare drilling program based on optimum rig position relative to targeted wellbore to optimize intervention. Incorporate relief well trajectory and well plan.
5. Well control experts may attempt to board the vessel and contain the blowout from the surface provided sufficient safety. HFRS equipment may be required to remove debris and provide fire fighting boats for access.

Measures to enhance ability to conduct effective and early intervention in the event of a blowout:

Walter is a member of Helix Well Control Group and Clean Gulf. The equipment is on standby in case of an incident.

SECTION 3
GEOLOGICAL AND GEOPHYSICAL INFORMATION

3.1 GEOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION
Proprietary Information

3.2 STRUCTURE CONTOUR MAPS
Proprietary Information

3.3 INTERPRETED SEISMIC LINES
Proprietary Information

3.4 GEOLOGICAL STRUCTURE CROSS-SECTION
Proprietary Information

3.5 SHALLOW HAZARDS REPORT
The proposed operations will be conducted from a previously approved surface location as provided for in Exploration Plan (Control No. N-9996); therefore, in accordance with NTL No. 2008-G05, "Shallow Hazards Program," a shallow hazards report is not provided.

3.6 SHALLOW HAZARDS ASSESSMENT
The proposed operations will be conducted from a previously approved surface location as provided for in the Exploration Plan (Control No. N-9996), approved on November 21, 2017; therefore, in accordance with NTL No. 2008-G05, Shallow Hazards Program" a site-specific shallow hazards assessment is not provided.

3.7 HIGH-RESOLUTION SEISMIC LINES
Proprietary Information

3.8 STRATIGRAPHIC COLUMN
Proprietary Information

3.9 TIME VERSUS DEPTH TABLES
Proprietary Information

SECTION 4
HYDROGEN SULFIDE INFORMATION

4.1 CONCENTRATION

Walter anticipates encountering 0 ppm H_2S during the proposed operations.

4.2 CLASSIFICATION

In accordance with Title 30 CFR 250.490(c), Walter requests that Ewing Bank Block 833 sidetrack into EW 834 be classified by the BOEM as H2S absent.

4.3 H2S CONTINGENCY PLAN

An H_2S Contingency Plan is not required for the activities proposed in this plan.

4.4 MODELING REPORT

Modeling reports are not required for the activities proposed in this plan.

SECTION 5
BIOLOGICAL, PHYSICAL AND SOCIOECONOMIC INFORMATION

5.1 DEEPWATER BENTHIC COMMUNITIES

The proposed operations will be conducted from a previously approved surface location in Exploration Plan (Control No.N-9996).

5.2 TOPOGRAPHIC FEATURES (BANKS)

Activities proposed in this EP do not fall within 305 meters (1000 feet) of a topographic "No Activity Zone;" therefore, no map is required per NTL No. 2009-G39, "Biologically Sensitive Underwater Features and Areas."

5.3 TOPOGRAPHIC FEATURES STATEMENT (SHUNTING)

Activities proposed under this EP will be conducted outside all Topographic Feature Protective Zones; therefore shunting of drill cuttings and drilling fluids is not required per NTL No. 2009-G39, "Biologically Sensitive Underwater Features and Areas."

5.4 LIVE BOTTOMS (PINNACLE TREND FEATURES)

Ewing Bank Block 833 is not located within 61 meters (200 feet) of any pinnacle trend feature; therefore, a separate bathymetric map is not required per NTL No. 2009-G39, "Biologically Sensitive Underwater Features and Areas."

5.5 LIVE BOTTOMS (LOW RELIEF)

Ewing Bank Block 833 is not located within 30 meters (100 feet) of any live bottom (low relief) feature with vertical relief equal to or greater than 8 feet; therefore, live bottom (low relief) maps are not required per NTL No. 2009-G39, "Biologically Sensitive Underwater Features and Areas."

5.6 POTENTIALLY SENSITIVE BIOLOGICAL FEATURES MAP

Ewing Bank Block 833 is not located within 30 meters (100 feet) of potentially sensitive biological features. In accordance with NTL No. 2009-G39, "Biologically Sensitive Underwater Features and Areas," biologically sensitive area maps are not required.

5.7 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES, CRITICAL HABITAT, AND MARINE MAMMAL INFORMATION

The federally listed endangered and threatened species potentially occurring in the lease area and along the Gulf Coast are provided in the table below.

Species	Scientific Name	Status	Potential Presence		Critical Habitat Designated in the Gulf of Mexico
			Lease Area	Coastal	
Marine Mammals					
Manatee, West Indian	*Trichechus manatus latirostris*	E	--	X	Florida (peninsular)
Whale, Blue	*Balaenoptera masculus*	E	X*	--	None
Whale, Finback	*Balaenoptera physalus*	E	X*	--	None

Whale, Humpback	*Megaptera novaeangliae*	E	X*	--	None
Whale, North Atlantic Right	*Eubalaena glacialis*	E	X*	--	None
Whale, Sei	*Balaenopiera borealis*	E	X*	--	None
Whale, Sperm	*Physeter catodon (=macrocephalus)*	E	X	--	None
Terrestrial Mammals					
Mouse, Beach (Alabama, Choctawatchee, Perdido Key, St. Andrew)	*Peromyscus polionotus*	E	-	X	Alabama, Florida (panhandle) beaches
Birds					
Plover, Piping	*Charadrius melodus*	T	-	X	Coastal Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama and Florida (panhandle)
Crane, Whooping	*Grus Americana*	E	-	X	Coastal Texas
Reptiles					
Sea Turtle, Green	*Chelonia mydas*	T	X	X	None
Sea Turtle, Hawksbill	*Eretmochelys imbricata*	E	X	X	None
Sea Turtle, Kemp's Ridley	*Lepidochelys kempli*	E	X	X	None
Sea Turtle, Leatherback	*Dermochelys coriacea*	E	X	X	None
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	*Caretta caretta*	T	X	X	Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida
Fish					
Sturgeon, Gulf	*Acipenser oxyrinchus (=oxyrhynchus) desotoi*	T	X	X	Coastal Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama and Florida (panhandle)
Manta Ray	Manta Birostris	T	X	X	Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida
Corals					
Coral, Elkhorn	*Acopora palmate*	T	-	X	Florida Keys and Dry Tortugas
Coral, Staghorn	*Acopora cervicornis*	T	-	X	Florida

Abbreviations: E = Endangered; T = Threatened

* The Blue Fin, Humpback, North Atlantic Right, and Sei Whales are rare or extralimital in the Gulf of Mexico and are unlikely to be present in the lease area.

5.8 ARCHAEOLOGICAL REPORT

The proposed operations will be conducted from a previously approved surface location as provided for in the Exploration Plan (Control No. N-9996); therefore, in accordance with NTL No. 2005-G07, "Archaeological Resource Surveys and Reports," and NTL No. 2011-JOINT-G01, "Revisions to the List of OCS Lease Blocks Requiring Archaeological Resource Surveys and Reports," an archaeological resource survey report is not provided.

5.9 AIR AND WATER QUALITY INFORMATION

Air and water quality information is not required to be included in this plan per NTL No. 2008-G04, "Information Requirements for Exploration Plans and Development Operations Coordination Documents."

5.10 SOCIOECONOMIC INFORMATION

Socioeconomic information is not required to be included in this plan per NTL No. 2008-G04, "Information Requirements for Exploration Plans and Development Operations Coordination Documents."

SECTION 6
WASTES AND DISCHARGES INFORMATION

6.1 PROJECTED GENERATED WASTES

"Wastes You Will Generate, Treat and Downhole Dispose or Discharge to the Gulf of Mexico" is included as **Attachment 6-A.**

6.2 MODELING REPORT

Modeling reports are not required for the activities proposed in this plan.

Attachment 6-A EWING BANK BLOCK 833 (PBHL EW 834), WELL LOCATION A SIDETRACK
WASTES YOU WILL GENERATE, TREAT AND DOWNHOLE DISPOSE OR DISCHARGE TO THE GOM

please specify if the amount reported is a total or per well amount

Projected generated waste			Projected ocean discharges		Projected Downhole Disposal
Type of Waste	**Composition**	**Projected Amount**	**Discharge rate**	**Discharge Method**	**Answer yes or no**
Will drilling occur ? If yes, you should list muds and cuttings					
Water-based drilling fluid	Water based drilling fluids	0 bbls/well	0 bbls/hr/well including cuttings	NA	NA
Cuttings wetted with water-based fluid	Cuttings generated while using water based drilling fluids	0 bbls/well	0 bbls/hr/well including drilling fluid	NA	NA
Synthetic based drilling fluids	SB drilling fluids adhering to drill cuttings from synthetic based hole intervals	180 bbls/well	2 bbls/day/well	Treated cuttings will be discharged overboard while drilling SBM interval. Cuttings will pass through cuttings dryer to reduce ROC percentage in compliance with EPA and then shunt through downpipe below water line.	No
Drill cuttings generated while using synthetic based drilling fluids	Cuttings generated while using synthetic based drilling fluids	2,577 bbls/well	29 bbls/day/well	Treated cuttings will be discharged overboard while drilling SBM interval. Cuttings will pass through cuttings dryer to reduce ROC percentage in compliance with EPA and then shunt through downpipe below water line.	No
Will humans be there? If yes, expect conventional waste					
Domestic waste	Gray Water	8,000 bbls/total	10 bbls/hr	Processed through DNV Class approved treatment tank and discharged	No
Sanitary waste	Human body treat waste discharged from toilets	2,500 bbls total	3 bbls/hr	Chlorinate and discharge overboard	No
Is there a deck? If yes, there will be Deck Drainage					
Deck Drainage	Rain water and rig wash	28,000 bbls total	15 bbls/hr dependent on rainfall	Oily water is treated in one of four (4) separators and discharged through Port-side caisson (cuttings chute) below sea level	No
Will you conduct well treatment, completion, or workover?					
Well treatment fluids	Viscous and csg wash spacers using HEC and small amounts sodium	200 bbls	0 discharge	Transport in MPT tanks to facility in Fourchon, LA	No
Well completion fluids	Ca2Cl2Ca2Br2/ZnBr2	500 bbls	0 discharge	Transport in MPT tanks to facility in Fourchon, LA	No
Workover fluids	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Miscellaneous discharges. If yes, only fill in those associated with your activity.					
Desalinization unit discharge	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Blowout prevent fluid	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Ballast water	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Bilge water	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Excess cement at seafloor	Cement Slurry	NA	NA	Discharged at mudline	No
Fire water	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Cooling water	NA	NA	NA	NA	No
Will you produce hydrocarbons? If yes fill in for produced water.					
Produced water	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Will you be covered by an individual or general NPDES permit ?			**GMG 290129**		

NOTE: If you will not have a type of waste, enter NA in the row.

SECTION 7
AIR EMISSIONS INFORMATION

7.1 EMISSIONS WORKSHEETS AND SCREENING QUESTIONS

Screen Questions for EP's	Yes	No
Is any calculated Complex Total (CT) Emission amount (tons) associated with your proposed exploration activities more than 90% of the amounts calculated using the following formulas: CT = $3400D^{2/3}$ for CO, and CT = 33.3D for the other air pollutants (where D = distance to shore in miles)?		X
Do your emission calculations include any emission reduction measures or modified emission factors?		X
Are your proposed exploration activities located east of 87.5° W longitude?		X
Do you expect to encounter H_2S at concentrations greater than 20 parts per million (ppm)?		X
Do you propose to flare or vent natural gas for more than 48 continuous hours from any proposed well?		X
Do you propose to burn produced hydrocarbon liquids?		X

7.2 SUMMARY INFORMATION

There are no existing facilities or activities co-located with the currently proposed activities; therefore, the Complex Total Emissions are the same as the Plan Emissions and are provided in **Attachment 7-A**.

This information was calculated by: Dena Rodriguez
281-578-3388
dena.rodriguez@jccteam.com

Air emissions were calculated using historical actual fuel usage for the MODU Sevan Louisiana with a 25% safety factor added.

Attachment 7-A

OMB Control No. 1010-0151
OMB Approval Expires: 06/30/2021

EXPLORATION PLAN (EP)
AIR QUALITY SCREENING CHECKLIST

COMPANY	Walter Oil & Gas Corporation
AREA	Ewing Bank
BLOCK	833
LEASE	OCS-G 35960
PLATFORM	
WELL	Location A
COMPANY CONTACT	Dena Rodriguez
TELEPHONE NO.	281-698-8512
REMARKS	Drill, sidetrack, complete, test one well, install subsea tree using DP semi-sub; AQR includes drilling well for 140 days and sidetracking well for 45 days in 2019; Sevan Louisiana historical fuel usage used

EMISSIONS FACTORS

Fuel Usage Conversion Factors	Natural Gas Turbines		Natural Gas Engines		Diesel Recip. Engine		REF.	DATE
	SCF/hp-hr	9.524	SCF/hp-hr	7.143	GAL/hp-hr	0.0483	AP42 3.2-1	4/76 & 8/84

Equipment/Emission Factors	units	PM	SOx	NOx	VOC	CO	REF.	DATE
NG Turbines	gms/hp-hr		0.00247	1.3	0.01	0.83	AP42 3.2-1& 3.1-1	10/96
NG 2-cycle lean	gms/hp-hr		0.00185	10.9	0.43	1.5	AP42 3.2-1	10/96
NG 4-cycle lean	gms/hp-hr		0.00185	11.8	0.72	1.6	AP42 3.2-1	10/96
NG 4-cycle rich	gms/hp-hr		0.00185	10	0.14	8.6	AP42 3.2-1	10/96
Diesel Recip. < 600 hp.	gms/hp-hr	1	0.1835	14	1.12	3.03	AP42 3.3-1	10/96
Diesel Recip. > 600 hp.	gms/hp-hr	0.32	0.1835	11	0.33	2.4	AP42 3.4-1	10/96
Diesel Boiler	lbs/bbl	0.084	0.3025	0.84	0.008	0.21	AP42 1.3-12,14	9/98
NG Heaters/Boilers/Burners	lbs/mmscf	7.6	0.593	100	5.5	84	P42 1.4-1, 14-2, & 14	7/98
NG Flares	lbs/mmscf		0.593	71.4	60.3	388.5	AP42 11.5-1	9/91
Liquid Flaring	lbs/bbl	0.42	6.83	2	0.01	0.21	AP42 1.3-1 & 1.3-3	9/98
Tank Vapors	lbs/bbl				0.03		E&P Forum	1/93
Fugitives	lbs/hr/comp.				0.0005		API Study	12/93
Glycol Dehydrator Vent	lbs/mmscf				6.6		La. DEQ	1991
Gas Venting	lbs/scf				0.0034			

Sulphur Content Source	Value	Units
Fuel Gas	3.33	ppm
Diesel Fuel	0.05	% weight
Produced Gas(Flares)	3.33	ppm
Produced Oil (Liquid Flaring)	1	% weight

EMISSIONS CALCULATIONS 1ST YEAR

COMPANY	AREA	BLOCK	LEASE	PLATFORM	WELL		CONTACT		PHONE	REMARKS
Walter Oil & Gas Corporation	Ewing Bank	833	OCS-G 35960		Location A		Dena Rodriguez		281-698-8512	Drill, sidetrack, complete, test one well, install subsea tree using DP semi-sub; AQR includes drilli

OPERATIONS	EQUIPMENT	RATING	MAX. FUEL	ACT. FUEL	RUN TIME		MAXIMUM POUNDS PER HOUR					ESTIMATED TONS				
	Diesel Engines	HP	GAL/HR	GAL/D												
	Nat. Gas Engines	HP	SCF/HR	SCF/D												
	Burners	MMBTU/HR	SCF/HR	SCF/D	HR/D	D/YR	PM	SOx	NOx	VOC	CO	PM	SOx	NOx	VOC	CO
DRILLING	PRIME MOVER>600hp diesel	46344	2238.4152	12277.00	24	185	32.67	18.73	1122.87	33.69	244.99	16.57	9.50	569.67	17.09	124.29
Sevan Louisiana (6 x 7724hp)	PRIME MOVER>600hp diesel	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
or equivalent DP	PRIME MOVER>600hp diesel	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
semi-sub	PRIME MOVER>600hp diesel	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	BURNER diesel	0			0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	AUXILIARY EQUIP<600hp diesel	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	VESSELS>600hp diesel(crew)	6200	299.46	7187.04	10	185	4.37	2.51	150.22	4.51	32.78	4.04	2.32	138.95	4.17	30.32
	VESSELS>600hp diesel(work)	3850	185.955	4462.92	10	185	2.71	1.56	93.28	2.80	20.35	2.51	1.44	86.29	2.59	18.83
	VESSELS>600hp diesel(work)	3850	185.955	4462.92	10	185	2.71	1.56	93.28	2.80	20.35	2.51	1.44	86.29	2.59	18.83
FACILITY	DERRICK BARGE diesel	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
INSTALLATION	MATERIAL TUG diesel	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	VESSELS>600hp diesel(crew)	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	VESSELS>600hp diesel(supply)	0	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	MISC.	BPD	SCF/HR	COUNT												
	TANK-	0			0	0				0.00					0.00	
DRILLING	OIL BURN	0			0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
WELL TEST	GAS FLARE		208333.3		24	2		0.12	14.87	12.56	80.94		0.00	0.36	0.30	1.94
2019 YEAR TOTAL							42.46	24.47	1474.53	56.35	399.41	25.63	14.70	881.55	26.74	194.20
EXEMPTION CALCULATION	DISTANCE FROM LAND IN MILES											2164.50	2164.50	2164.50	2164.50	54965.20
	65.0															

SUMMARY

COMPANY	AREA	BLOCK	LEASE	PLATFORM	WELL
Walter Oil & Ga	Ewing Bank	833	OCS-G 35960		Location A

Year	Emitted Substance				
	PM	SOx	NOx	VOC	CO
2019	25.63	14.70	881.55	26.74	194.20
Allowable	2164.50	2164.50	2164.50	2164.50	54965.20

Day	Gallons
10/20/2016	8717.676
10/21/2016	10039
10/22/2016	12680
10/23/2016	13209
10/24/2016	10567
10/25/2016	11359
10/26/2016	8454
10/27/2016	11888
10/28/2016	7925
10/29/2016	8454
10/30/2016	9510
10/31/2016	10303
11/1/2016	9774
11/2/2016	14794
11/3/2016	8189
11/4/2016	13737
11/5/2016	15850
11/6/2016	14001
11/7/2016	12416
11/8/2016	19285
11/9/2016	15850
11/10/2016	18492
11/11/2016	6340
11/12/2016	12152
11/13/2016	17171
11/14/2016	15058
11/15/2016	12680
11/16/2016	15850
11/17/2016	9510
11/18/2016	11359
11/19/2016	9774
11/20/2016	12152
11/21/2016	13473
11/22/2016	9246
11/23/2016	17964
11/24/2016	19285
11/25/2016	15322
11/26/2016	12944
11/27/2016	19813
11/28/2016	13209
11/29/2016	8982
11/30/2016	9774
12/1/2016	12416

12/2/2016	13737
12/3/2016	10831
12/4/2016	12416
12/5/2016	10567
12/6/2016	12152
12/7/2016	8189
12/8/2016	13209
12/9/2016	16907
12/10/2016	12680
12/11/2016	8982
12/12/2016	8982
12/13/2016	14001
12/14/2016	12680
12/15/2016	11888
12/16/2016	14265
12/17/2016	13473
12/18/2016	12416
12/19/2016	11888
12/20/2016	11095
12/21/2016	13209
12/22/2016	13473
12/23/2016	12152
12/24/2016	11359
12/25/2016	13473
12/26/2016	9774
12/27/2016	14265
12/28/2016	11095
12/29/2016	9246
12/30/2016	5548
Mean	12277

SECTION 8
OIL SPILL INFORMATION

8.1 OIL SPILL RESPONSE PLANNING

All the proposed activities and facilities in this EP will be covered by the Oil Spill Response Plan (OSRP) filed by Walter Oil & Gas Corporation (Company No. 00730) dated July 2017 and last approved on September 28, 2017 (OSRP Control No. O-370).

8.2 SPILL RESPONSE SITES

Primary Response Equipment Location	Preplanned Staging Location
Houma, LA	Houma, LA
Harvey, LA	Leeville, LA
Leeville, LA	Fourchon, LA

8.3 OSRO INFORMATION

Walter's primary equipment provider is Clean Gulf Associates. Clean Gulf Associates Services, LLC will provide closest available personnel, as well as a supervisor to operate the equipment.

8.4 WORST CASE SCENARIO DETERMINATION

Category	Regional OSRP WCD - Drilling	EP WCD - Drilling
Type of activity	Drilling	Drilling
Facility location (area/block)	ST 311	EW 833
Facility designation	A002	Well Location A
Distance to nearest shoreline (miles)	64	65
Storage tanks (bbl)	620	N/A
Uncontrolled blowout (bbl)	193,046	112,743
Total volume (bbl)	**193,666**	**112,743**
Type of oil(s) (crude, condensate, diesel)	Condensate	Crude
API gravity	46.8°	23.7°

The EP Drilling WCD calculations were accepted under Exploration Plan Control No. N-9996 approved November 21, 2017.

The WCD for the Ewing Bank Block 833 sidetrack into EW 834 is calculated to be 111,093, which does not supersede the current WCD volume of 112,743, as accepted under Exploration Plan Control No. N-9996 approved November 21, 2017.

Walter has determined that the worst-case scenario from the activities proposed in this EP does not supersede the worst-case scenario from our approved Regional OSRP.

Since Walter Oil & Gas Corporation has the capability to respond to the worst-case spill scenario included in our Regional OSRP approved on September 28, 2017, and since the worst-case scenario determined for our EP does not replace the worst-case scenario in our

Regional OSRP, Walter Oil & Gas Corporation hereby certifies that Walter Oil & Gas Corporation has the capability to respond, to the maximum extent practicable, to a worst-case discharge, or a substantial threat of such a discharge, resulting from the activities proposed in this EP.

8.5 OIL SPILL RESPONSE DISCUSSION

The Oil Spill Response Discussion is included as **Attachment 8-A.**

8.6 MODELING REPORT

Modeling reports are not required for the activities proposed in this plan.

ATTACHMENT 8-A

SPILL RESPONSE DISCUSSION

For the purpose of NEPA and Coastal Zone Management Act analysis, the largest spill volume originating from the proposed activity would be a well blowout during drilling operations, estimated to be 112,743 barrels of crude oil with an API gravity of 23.7°.

Land Segment and Resource Identification

Trajectories of a spill and the probability of it impacting a land segment have been projected utilizing information in the BOEM Oil Spill Risk Analysis Model (OSRAM) for the Central and Western Gulf of Mexico available on the BOEM website. The results are shown in **Figure 1.** The BOEM OSRAM identifies a 7% probability of impact to the shoreline of Cameron Parish within 10 days. Cameron Parish includes the east side of Sabine Lake, Sabine National Wildlife Refuge, Calcasieu Lake, Lacassine National Wildlife Refuge (inland) and Grand Lake. Cameron Parish also includes the area along the coastline from Sabine Pass to Big Constance Lake in Rockefeller Wildlife Refuge. This region is composed of open public beaches, marshlands and swamps. It serves as a habitat for numerous birds, finfish and other animals, including several rare, threatened and endangered species.

Response

Walter Oil & Gas Corporation will make every effort to respond to the Worst Case Discharge as effectively as practicable. A description of the response equipment under contract to contain and recover the Worst Case Discharge is shown in **Figure 2.**

Using the estimated chemical and physical characteristics of crude oil, an ADIOS weathering model was run on a similar product from the ADIOS oil database. The results indicate 5% or approximately 5,637 barrels of crude oil would be evaporated/dispersed within 24 hours, with approximately 107,106 barrels remaining.

Natural Weathering Data: EW 833, Well Location A	**Barrels of Oil**
WCD Volume	112,743
Less 5% natural evaporation/dispersion	5,637
Remaining volume	107,106

Figure 2 outlines equipment, personnel, materials and support vessels as well as temporary storage equipment available to respond to the worst case discharge. The volume accounts for the amount remaining after evaporation/dispersion at 24 hours. The list estimates individual times needed for procurement, load out, travel time to the site and deployment. **Figure 2** also indicates how operations will be supported.

Walter Oil & Gas Corporation's Oil Spill Response Plan includes alternative response technologies such as dispersants. Strategies will be decided by Unified Command based on a safety analysis, the size of the spill, weather and potential impacts. Although unlikely, if aerial

dispersants are utilized, 8 sorties (9,600 gallons) from two of the DC-3 aircrafts and 4 sorties (8,000 gallons) from the Basler aircraft would provide a daily dispersant capability of 7,540 barrels. Slick containment boom and sorbent boom would be immediately called out and on-scene as soon as possible. Offshore response strategies may include attempting to skim utilizing CGA's response equipment, with a total derated skimming capacity of 706,980 barrels. Temporary storage associated with skimming equipment equals 32,796 barrels. If additional storage is needed, various storage barges with a total capacity of 1.435 million+ barrels may be mobilized and centrally located to provide temporary storage and minimize off-loading time. **Safety is first priority. Air monitoring will be accomplished and operations deemed safe prior to any containment/skimming attempts.**

If the spill went unabated, shoreline impact in Cameron Parish would depend upon existing environmental conditions. Shoreline protection would include the use of CGA's near shore and shallow water skimmers with a totaled derated skimming capacity of 166,722 barrels. Temporary storage associated with skimming equipment equals 2,096 barrels. If additional storage is needed, various storage barges with a total capacity of 176,000 barrels may be mobilized and centrally located to provide temporary storage and minimize off-loading time. Onshore response may include the deployment of shoreline boom on beach areas, or protection and sorbent boom on vegetated areas. A Master Service Agreement with OMI Environmental will ensure access to 70,350 feet of 18" shoreline protection boom. **Figure 2** outlines individual times needed for procurement, load out, travel time to the site and deployment. Strategies would be based upon surveillance and real time trajectories that depict areas of potential impact given actual sea and weather conditions. Applicable Area Contingency Plans (ACPs), Geographic Response Plans (GRPs), and Unified Command (UC) will be consulted to ensure that environmental and special economic resources are correctly identified and prioritized to ensure optimal protection. Shoreline protection strategies depict the protection response modes applicable for oil spill clean-up operations. As a secondary resource, the State of Louisiana Initial Oil Spill Response Plan will be consulted as appropriate to provide detailed shoreline protection strategies and describe necessary action to keep the oil spill from entering Louisiana's coastal wetlands. The UC should take into consideration all appropriate items detailed in Tactics discussion of this Appendix. The UC and their personnel have the option to modify the deployment and operation of equipment to allow for a more effective response to site-specific circumstances. Walter Oil & Gas Corporation's contract Spill Management Team has access to the applicable ACP(s) and GRP(s).

Based on the anticipated worst case discharge scenario, Walter Oil & Gas Corporation can be onsite with contracted oil spill recovery equipment with adequate response capacity to contain and recover surface hydrocarbons, and prevent land impact, to the maximum extent practicable, within an estimated 60 hours (based on the equipment's Effective Daily Recovery Capacity (EDRC)).

Initial Response Considerations

Actual actions taken during an oil spill response will be based on many factors to include but not be limited to:

- Weather
- Equipment and materials availability
- Ocean currents and tides
- Location of the spill
- Product spilled
- Amount spilled
- Environmental risk assessments
- Trajectory and product analysis
- Well status, i.e., shut in or continual release

Walter Oil & Gas Corporation will take action to provide a safe, aggressive response to contain and recover as much of the spilled oil as quickly as it is safe to do so. In an effort to protect the environment, response actions will be designed to provide an "in-depth" protection strategy meant to recover as much oil as possible as far from environmentally sensitive areas as possible. Safety will take precedence over all other considerations during these operations.

Coordination of response assets will be supervised by the designation of a SIMOPS group as necessary for close quarter vessel response activities. Most often, this group will be used during source control events that require a significant number of large vessels operating independently, but in coordination to complete a common objective, in a small area and in close coordination and support of each other. This group must also monitor the subsurface activities of each vessel (ROV, dispersant application, well control support, etc.). The SIMOPS group leader reports to the Source Control Section Chief.

In addition, these activities will be monitored by the spill management team (SMT) and Unified Command via a structured Common Operating Picture (COP) established to track resource and slick movement in real time.

Upon notification of a spill, the following actions will be taken:

- Information will be confirmed
- An assessment will be made and initial objectives set
- OSROs and appropriate agencies will be notified
- ICS 201, Initial Report Form completed
- Initial Safety plan will be written and published
- Unified Command will be established
    - Overall safety plan developed to reflect the operational situation and coordinated objectives
    - Areas of responsibility established for Source Control and each surface operational site
    - On-site command and control established

Offshore Response Actions

Equipment Deployment

Surveillance

- Surveillance Aircraft: within two hours of QI notification, or at first light
- Provide trained observer to provide on site status reports
- Provide command and control platform at the site if needed
- Continual surveillance of oil movement by remote sensing systems, aerial photography and visual confirmation
- Continual monitoring of vessel assets using vessel monitoring systems

Dispersant application assets

- Put ASI on standby
- With the FOSC, conduct analysis to determine appropriateness of dispersant application (refer to Section 18)
- Gain FOSC approval for use of dispersants on the surface
- Deploy aircraft in accordance with a plan developed for the actual situation
- Coordinate movement of dispersants, aircraft, and support equipment and personnel
- Confirm dispersant availability for current and long range operations
- Start ordering dispersant stocks required for expected operations

Containment boom

- Call out early and expedite deployment to be on scene ASAP
- Ensure boom handling and mooring equipment is deployed with boom
- Provide continuing reports to vessels to expedite their arrival at sites that will provide for their most effective containment
- Use Vessels of Opportunity (VOO) to deploy and maintain boom

Oceangoing Boom Barge

- Containment at the source
- Increased/enhanced skimmer encounter rate
- Protection booming

In-situ Burn assets

- Determine appropriateness of in-situ burn operation in coordination with the FOSC and affected SOSC
- Determine availability of fire boom and selected ignition systems
- Start ordering fire boom stocks required for expected operations
- Contact boom manufacturer to provide training & tech support for operations, if required
- Determine assets to perform on water operation
- Build operations into safety plan
- Conduct operations in accordance with an approved plan
- Initial test burn to ensure effectiveness

Dedicated off-shore skimming systems
General

- Deployed to the highest concentration of oil
- Assets deployed at safe distance from aerial dispersant and in-situ burn operations

CGA HOSS Barge

- Use in areas with heaviest oil concentrations
- Consider for use in areas of known debris (seaweed, and other floating materials)

CGA 95' Fast Response Vessels (FRVs)

- Designed to be a first vessel on scene
- Capable of maintaining the initial Command and Control function for on water recovery operations
- 24 hour oil spill detection capability
- Highly mobile and efficient skimming capability
- Use as far off-shore as safely possible

CGA FRUs

- To the area of the thickest oil
- Use as far off-shore as allowed
- VOOs 140' – 180' in length
- VOOs with minimum of 18' x 38' or 23' x 50' of optimum deck space
- VOOs in shallow water should have a draft of <10 feet when fully loaded

T&T Koseq Skimming Systems

- To the area of the thickest oil
- Use as far off-shore as allowed
- VOOs with a minimum of 2,000 bbls storage capacity
- VOOs at least 200' in length
- VOOs with deck space of 100' x 40' to provide space for arms, tanks, and crane
- VOOs for shallow water should be deck barges with a draft of <10 feet when fully loaded

Storage Vessels

- Establish availability of CGA contracted assets (See Appendix E)
- Early call out (to allow for tug boat acquisition and deployment speeds)
- Phase mobilization to allow storage vessels to arrive at the same time as skimming systems
- Position as closely as possible to skimming assets to minimize offloading time

Vessels of Opportunity (VOO)

- Use Walter Oil & Gas Corporation's contracted resources as applicable
- Industry vessels are ideal for deployment of Vessel of Opportunity Skimming Systems (VOSS)
- Acquire additional resources as needed
- Consider use of local assets, i.e. fishing and pleasure craft for ISB operations or boom tending
- Expect mission specific and safety training to be required
- Plan with the US Coast Guard for vessel inspections
- Place VOOs in Division or Groups as needed
- Use organic on-board storage if appropriate
- Maximize non-organic storage appropriate to vessel limitations
- Decant as appropriate after approval to do so has been granted
- Assign bulk storage barges to each Division/Group
- Position bulk storage barges as close to skimming units as possible
- Utilize large skimming vessel (e.g. barges) storage for smaller vessel offloading
- Maximize skimming area (swath) to the optimum width given sea conditions and available equipment
- Maximize use of oleophilic skimmers in all operations, but especially offshore
- Nearshore, use shallow water barges and shuttle to skimming units to minimize offloading time
- Plan and equip to use all offloading capabilities of the storage vessel to minimize offloading time

Adverse Weather Operations:

In adverse weather, when seas are $\geq$ 3 feet, the use of larger recovery and storage vessels, oleophilic skimmers, and large offshore boom will be maximized. KOSEQ Arm systems are built for rough conditions, and they should be used until their operational limit (9.8' seas) is met. Safety will be the overriding factor in all operations and will cease at the order of the Unified Command, vessel captain, or in an emergency, "stop work" may be directed by any crew member.

Surface Oil Recovery Considerations and Tactics
(Offshore and Near-shore Operations)

Maximization of skimmer-oil encounter rate

- Place barges in skimming task forces, groups, etc., to reduce recovered oil offloading time
- Place barges alongside skimming systems for immediate offloading of recovered oil when practicable
- Use two vessels, each with heavy sea boom, in an open-ended "V" configuration to funnel surface oil into a trailing skimming unit's organic, V-shaped boom and skimmer (see page 7, *CGA Equipment Guide Book and Tactic Manual* (CGATM))

- Use secondary vessels and heavy sea boom to widen boom swath beyond normal skimming system limits (see page 15, CGATM)
- Consider night-time operations, first considering safety issues
- Utilize all available advanced technology systems (IR, X-Band Radar, etc.) to determine the location of, and move to, recoverable oil
- Confirm the presence of recoverable oil prior to moving to a new location

Maximize skimmer system efficiency

- Place weir skimming systems in areas of calm seas and thick oil
- Maximize the use of oleophilic skimming systems in heavier seas
- Place less mobile, high EDRC skimming systems (e.g. HOSS Barge) in the largest pockets of the heaviest oil
- Maximize onboard recovered oil storage for vessels.
- Obtain authorization for decanting of recovered water as soon as possible
- Use smaller, more agile skimming systems to recover streamers of oil normally found farther from the source. Place recovered oil barges nearby

Recovered Oil Storage

- Smaller barges in larger quantities will increase flexibility for multi-location skimming operations
- Place barges in skimming task forces, groups, etc., to reduce recovered oil offloading time
- Procure and deploy the maximum number of portable tanks to support Vessel of Opportunity Skimming Systems if onboard storage is not available
- Maximize use of the organic recovered oil storage capacity of the skimming vessel

Command, Control, and Communications (C^3)

- Publish, implement, and fully test an appropriate communications plan
- Design an operational scheme, maintaining a manageable span of control
- Designate and mark C^3 vessels for easy aerial identification
- Designate and employ C^3 aircraft for task forces, groups, etc.
- Use reconnaissance air craft and Rapid Response Teams (RAT) to confirm the presence of recoverable oil

On Water Recovery Group
When the first skimming vessel arrives on scene, a complete site assessment will be conducted before recovery operations begin. Once it is confirmed that the air monitoring readings for O2, LEL, H2S, CO, VOC, and Benzene are all within the permissible limits, oil recovery operations may begin.

As skimming vessels arrive, they will be organized to work in areas that allow for the most efficient vessel operation and free vessel movement in the recovery of oil. Vessel groups will vary in structure as determined by the Operations Section of the Unified Command, but will generally consist, at a minimum, of the following dedicated assets:

- 3 to 5 – Offshore skimming vessels (recovery)
- 1 – Tank barge (temporary storage)
- 1 – Air asset (tactical direction)
- 2 – Support vessels (crew/utility for supply)
- 6 to 10 – Boom vessels (enhanced booming)

Example *(**Note:** Actual organization of TFs will be dependent on several factors including, asset availability, weather, spilled oil migration, currents, etc.)*

The 95' FRV Breton Island out of Venice arrives on scene and conducts an initial site assessment. Air monitoring levels are acceptable and no other visual threats have been observed. The area is cleared for safe skimming operations. The Breton Island assumes command and control (CoC) of on-water recovery operations until a dedicated non-skimming vessel arrives to relieve it of those duties.

A second 95' FRV arrives and begins recovery operations alongside the Breton Island. Several more vessels begin to arrive, including a third 95' FRV out of Galveston, the HOSS Barge (High Volume Open Sea Skimming System) out of Harvey, a boom barge (CGA 300) with 25,000' of 42" auto boom out of Leeville, and 9 Fast Response Units (FRUs) from the load-out location at C-Port in Port Fourchon.

As these vessels set up and begin skimming, they are grouped into task forces (TFs) as directed by the Operations Section of the Unified Command located at the command post.

Initial set-up and potential actions:

- A 1,000 meter safety zone has been established around the incident location for vessels involved in Source Control
- The HOSS Barge is positioned facing the incident location just outside of this safety zone or at the point where the freshest oil is reaching the surface
- The HOSS Barge engages its Oil Spill Detection (OSD) system to locate the heaviest oil and maintains that ability for 24-hour operations

- The HOSS Barge deploys 1,320' of 67" Sea Sentry boom on each side, creating a swath width of 800'
- The Breton Island and H.I. Rich skim nearby, utilizing the same OSD systems as the HOSS Barge to locate and recover oil
- Two FRUs join this group and it becomes TF1
- The remaining 7 FRUs are split into a 2 and 3 vessel task force numbered TF2 and TF3
- A 95' FRV is placed in each TF
- The boom barge (CGA 300) is positioned nearby and begins deploying auto boom in sections between two utility vessels (1,000' to 3,000' of boom, depending on conditions) with chain-link gates in the middle to funnel oil to the skimmers
- The initial boom support vessels position in front of TF2 and TF3
- A 100,000+ barrel offshore tank barge is placed with each task force as necessary to facilitate the immediate offload of skimming vessels

The initial task forces (36 hours in) may be structured as follows:

TF 1

- 1 – 95' FRV
- 1 – HOSS Barge with 3 tugs
- 2 – FRUs
- 1 – 100,000+ barrel tank barge and associated tug(s)
- 1 – Dedicated air asset for tactical direction
- 8 – 500' sections of auto boom with gates
- 8 – Boom-towing vessels
- 2 – Support vessels (crew/utility)

TF 2

- 1 – 95' FRV
- 4 – FRUs
- 1 – 100,000+ barrel tank barge and associated tug(s)
- 1 – Dedicated air asset for tactical direction
- 10 – 500' sections of auto boom with gates
- 10 – Boom-towing vessels
- 2 – Support vessels (crew/utility)

TF 3

- 1 – 95' FRV
- 3 – FRUs
- 1 – 100,000+ barrel tank barge and associated tug(s)
- 1 – Dedicated air asset for tactical direction
- 8 – 500' sections of auto boom with gates
- 8 – Boom-towing vessels
- 2 – Support vessels (crew/utility)

Offshore skimming equipment continues to arrive in accordance with the ETA data listed in figure H.3a; this equipment includes 2 AquaGuard skimmers and 11 sets of Koseq Rigid Skimming Arms. These high volume heavy weather capable systems will be divided into functional groups and assigned to specific areas by the Operations Section of the Unified Command.

At this point of the response, the additional TFs may assume the following configurations:

TF 4

- 2 – Sets of Koseq Rigid Skimming Arms w/ associated 200'+ PIDVs
- 1 – AquaGuard Skimmer
- 1 – 100,000+ barrel tank barge and associated tug(s)
- 1 – Dedicated air asset for tactical direction
- 2 – Support vessels (crew/utility)
- 6 – 500' sections of auto boom with gates
- 6 – Boom-towing vessels

TF 5

- 3 – Sets of Koseq Rigid Skimming Arms w/ associated 200'+ PIDVs
- 1 – AquaGuard Skimmer
- 1 – 100,000+ barrel tank barge and associated tug(s)
- 1 – Dedicated air asset for tactical direction
- 2 – Support vessels (crew/utility)
- 8 – 500' sections of auto boom with gates
- 8 – Boom-towing vessels

TF 6

- 3 – Sets of Koseq Rigid Skimming Arms w/ associated 200'+ PIDVs
- 1 – 100,000+ barrel tank barge and associated tug(s)
- 1 – Dedicated air asset for tactical direction
- 2 – Support vessels (crew/utility)
- 6 – 500' sections of auto boom with gates
- 6 – Boom-towing vessels

TF 7

- 3 – Sets of Koseq Rigid Skimming Arms w/ associated 200'+ PIDVs
- 1 – 100,000+ barrel tank barge and associated tug(s)
- 1 – Dedicated air asset for tactical direction
- 2 – Support vessels (crew/utility)
- 6 – 500' sections of auto boom with gates
- 6 – Boom-towing vessels

CGA Minimum Acceptable Capabilities for Vessels of Opportunity (VOO)
Minimum acceptable capabilities of Petroleum Industry Designed Vessels (PIDV) for conducting Vessel of Opportunity (VOO) skimming operations are shown in the table below. PIDVs are "purpose-built" to provide normal support to offshore oil and gas operators. They include but are not limited to utility boats, offshore supply vessels, etc. They become VOOs when tasked with oil spill response duties.

Capability	FRU	KOSEQ	AquaGuard
Type of Vessel	Utility Boat	Offshore Supply Vessel	Utility Boat
Operating parameters			
Sea State	3-5 ft max	9.8 ft max	3-5 ft max
Skimming speed	≤1 kt	≤3 kts	≤1 kt
Vessel size			
Minimum Length	100 ft	200 ft	100 ft
Deck space for: • Tank(s) • Crane(s) • Boom Reels • Hydraulic Power Units • Equipment Boxes	18x32 ft	100x40 ft	18x32 ft
Communication Assets	Marine Band Radio	Marine Band Radio	Marine Band Radio

Tactical use of Vessels of Opportunity (VOO): Walter Oil & Gas Corporation will take all possible measures to maximize the oil-to-skimmer encounter rate of all skimming systems, to include VOOs, as discussed in this section. VOOs will normally be placed within an On-water recovery unit as shown in figures below.

Skimming Operations: PIDVs are the preferred VOO skimming platform. OSROs are more versed in operating on these platforms and the vessels are generally large enough with crews more likely versed in spill response operations. They also have a greater possibility of having on-board storage capacity and the most likely vessels to be under contract, and therefore more readily available to the operator. These vessels would normally be assigned to an on-water recovery group/division (see figure below) and outfitted with a VOSS suited for their size and capabilities. Specific tactics used for skimming operations would be dependent upon many parameters which include, but are not limited to, safety concerns, weather, type VOSS on board, product being recovered, and area of oil coverage. Planners would deploy these assets with the objective of safely maximizing oil- to-skimmer encounter rate by taking actions to minimize non-skimming time and maximizing boom swath. Specific tactical configurations are shown in figures below.

The Fast Response Unit (FRU): A self-contained, skid based, skimming system that is deployed from the right side of a vessel of opportunity (VOO). An outrigger holds a 75' long section of air inflatable boom in place that directs oil to an apex for recovery via a Foilex 250 weir skimmer. The outrigger creates roughly a 40' swath width dependent on the VOO beam. The lip of the collection bowl on the skimmer is placed as close to the oil and water interface as possible to maximize oil recovery and minimize water retention. The skimmer then pumps all fluids recovered to the storage tank where it is allowed to settle, and with the approval of the Coast Guard, the water is decanted from the bottom of the tank back into the water ahead of the containment boom to be recycled through the system. Once the tank is full of as much pure recovered oil as possible it is offloaded to a storage barge for disposal in accordance with an approved disposal plan. A second 100 barrel storage tank can be added if the appropriate amount of deck space is available to use as secondary storage.

Tactical Overview

Mechanical Recovery – The FRU is designed to provide fast response skimming capability in the offshore and nearshore environment in a stationary or advancing mode. It provides a rated daily recovery capacity of 4,100 barrels. An additional boom reel with 440' of offshore boom can be deployed along with the FRU, and a second support vessel for boom towing, to extend the swath width when attached to the end of the fixed boom. The range and sustainability offshore is dependent on the VOO that the unit is placed on, but generally these can stay offshore for extended periods. The FRU works well independently or assigned with other on-water recovery assets in a task force. In either case, it is most effective when a designated aircraft is assigned to provide tactical direction to ensure the best placement in recoverable oil.

Maximum Sea Conditions – Under most circumstances the FRU can maintain standard oil spill recovery operations in 2' to 4' seas. Ultimately, the Coast Guard licensed Captain in charge of the VOO (with input from the CGAS Supervisor assigned) will be responsible to determine when the sea conditions have surpassed the vessel's safe operating capabilities.

Possible Task Force Configuration (Multiple VOOs can be deployed in a task force)

1 – VOO (100' to 165' Utility or Supply Vessel)
1 – Boom reel w/support vessel for towing
1 – Tank barge (offshore) for temporary storage
1 – Utility/Crewboat (supply)
1 – Designated spotter aircraft

The VOSS (yellow) is being deployed and connected to an out-rigged arm. This is suitable for collection in both large pockets of oil and for recovery of streaming oil. The oil-to-skimmer encounter rate is limited by the length of the arm. Skimming pace is ≤ 1 knot.

Through the use of an additional VOO, and using extended sea boom, the swath of the VOSS is increased therefore maximizing the oil-to-skimmer encounter rate. Skimming pace is ≤ 1 knot.

The Koseq Rigid Sweeping Arm: A skimming system deployed on a vessel of opportunity. It requires a large Offshore or Platform Supply Vessel (OSV/PSV), greater than 200' with at least 100' x 50' of free deck space. On each side of the vessel, a 50' long rigid framed Arm is deployed that consists of pontoon chambers to provide buoyancy, a smooth nylon face, and a hydraulically adjustable mounted weir skimmer. The Arm floats independently of the vessel and is attached by a tow bridle and a lead line. The movement of the vessel forward draws the rubber end seal of the arm against the hull to create a collection point for free oil directed to the weir by the Arm face. The collection weir is adjusted to keep the lip as close to the oil water interface as possible to maximize oil recovery while attempting to minimize excess water collection. A transfer pump (combination of positive displacement, screw type and centrifuge suited for highly viscous oils) pump the recovered liquid to portable tanks and/or dedicated fixed storage tanks onboard the vessel. After being allowed to sit and separate, with approval from the Coast Guard, the water can be decanted (pumped off) in front of the collection arm to be reprocessed through the system. Once full with as much pure recovered oil as possible, the oil is transferred to a temporary storage barge where it can be disposed of in accordance with an approved disposal plan.

Tactical Overview

Mechanical Recovery – Deployed on large vessels of opportunity (VOO) the Koseq Rigid Sweeping Arms are high volume surge capacity deployed to increase recovery capacity at the source of a large oil spill in the offshore and outer nearshore environment of the Gulf of Mexico. They are highly mobile and sustainable in rougher sea conditions than normal skimming vessels (9.8' seas). The large Offshore Supply Vessels (OSV) required to deploy the Arms are able to remain on scene for extended periods, even when sea conditions pick up. Temporary storage on deck in portable tanks usually provides between 1,000 and 3,000 bbls. In most cases, the OSV will be able to pump 20% of its deadweight into the liquid mud tanks in accordance with the vessels Certificate of Inspection (COI). All storage can be offloaded utilizing the vessels liquid transfer system.

Maximum Sea Conditions - Under most circumstances the larger OSVs are capable of remaining on scene well past the Skimming Arms maximum sea state of 9.8'. Ultimately it will be the decision of the VOO Captain, with input from the T&T Supervisor onboard, to determine when the sea conditions have exceeded the safe operating conditions of the vessel.

Command and Control – The large OSVs in many cases have state of the art communication and electronic systems, as well as the accommodations to support the function of directing all skimming operations offshore and reporting back to the command post.

Possible Task Force Configuration (Multiple Koseq VOOs can be deployed in a task force)

1 – $\geq$ 200' Offshore Supply Vessels (OSV) with set of Koseq Arms
2 to 4 portable storage tanks (500 bbl)
1 – Modular Crane Pedestal System set (MCPS) or 30 cherry picker (crane) for deployment
1 – Tank barge (offshore) for temporary storage
1 – Utility/Crewboat (supply)
1 – Designated spotter aircraft
4 – Personnel (4 T&T OSRO)



Scattered oil is "caught" by two VOO and collected at the apex of the towed sea boom. The oil moves thought a "gate" at that apex, forming a larger stream of oil which moves into the boom of the skimming vessel. Operations are paced at >1. A recovered oil barge stationed nearby to minimize time taken to offload recovered oil.

This is a depiction of the same operation as above but using KOSEQ Arms. In this configuration, the collecting boom speed dictates the operational pace at $\geq$ 1 knot to minimize entrainment of the oil.

Clean Gulf Associates (CGA) Procedure for Accessing Member-Contracted and other Vessels of Opportunity (VOOs) for Spill Response

- CGA has procedures in place for CGA member companies to acquire vessels of opportunity (VOOs) from an existing CGA member's contracted fleet or other sources for the deployment of CGA portable skimming equipment including Koseq Arms, Fast Response Units (FRUs) and any other portable skimming system(s) deemed appropriate for the response for a potential or actual oil spill, WCD oil spill or a Spill of National Significance (SONS).

- CGA uses Port Vision, a web-based vessel and terminal interface that empowers CGA to track vessels through Automatic Identification System (AIS) and terminal activities using a Geographic Information System (GIS). It provides live AIS/GIS views of waterways showing current vessel positions, terminals, created vessel fleets, and points-of-interest. Through this system, CGA has the ability to get instant snapshots of the location and status of all vessels contracted to CGA members, day or night, from any web-enabled PC.

Near Shore Response Actions

Timing

- Put near shore assets on standby and deployment in accordance with planning based on the actual situation, actual trajectories and oil budgets
- VOO identification and training in advance of spill nearing shoreline if possible
- Outfitting of VOOs for specific missions
- Deployment of assets based on actual movement of oil

Considerations

- Water depth, vessel draft
- Shoreline gradient
- State of the oil
- Use of VOOs
- Distance of surf zone from shoreline

Surveillance

- Provide trained observer to direct skimming operations
- Continual surveillance of oil movement by remote sensing systems, aerial photography and visual confirmation
- Continual monitoring of vessel assets

Dispersant Use

- Generally will not be approved within 3 miles of shore or with less than 10 meters of water depth
- Approval would be at Regional Response Team level (Region 6)

Dedicated Near Shore skimming systems

- FRVs
- Egmopol and Marco SWS
- Operate with aerial spotter directing systems to observed oil slicks

VOO

- Use Walter Oil & Gas Corporation's contracted resources as applicable
- Industry vessel are usually best for deployment of Vessel of Opportunity Skimming Systems (VOSS)
- Acquire additional resources as needed
- Consider use of local assets, i.e. fishing and pleasure craft
- Expect mission specific and safety training to be required
- Plan with the US Coast Guard for vessel inspections
- Operate with aerial spotter directing systems to oil patches

Shoreline Protection Operations

Response Planning Considerations

- Review appropriate Area Contingency Plan(s)
- Locate and review appropriate Geographic Response and Site Specific Plans
- Refer to appropriate Environmentally Sensitive Area Maps
- Capability for continual analysis of trajectories run periodically during the response
- Environmental risk assessments (ERA) to determine priorities for area protection
- Time to acquire personnel and equipment and their availability
- Refer to the State of Louisiana Initial Oil Spill Response Plan, Deep Water Horizon, dated 2 May 2010, as a secondary reference
- Aerial surveillance of oil movement
- Pre-impact beach cleaning and debris removal
- Shoreline Cleanup Assessment Team (SCAT) operations and reporting procedures
- Boom type, size and length requirements and availability
- Possibility of need for In-situ burning in near shore areas
- Current wildlife situation, especially status of migratory birds and endangered species in the area
- Check for Archeological sites and arrange assistance for the appropriate state agency when planning operations the may impact these areas

Placement of boom

- Position boom in accordance with the information gained from references listed above and based on the actual situation
- Determine areas of natural collection and develop booming strategies to move oil into those areas
- Assess timing of boom placement based on the most current trajectory analysis and the availability of each type of boom needed. Determine an overall booming priority and conduct booming operations accordingly. Consider:
    - Trajectories
    - Weather forecast
    - Oil Impact forecast
    - Verified spill movement
    - Boom, manpower and vessel (shallow draft) availability
    - Near shore boom and support material, (stakes, anchors, line)

Beach Preparation - Considerations and Actions

- Use of a 10 mile go/no go line to determine timing of beach cleaning
- SCAT reports and recommendations
- Determination of archeological sites and gaining authority to enter
- Monitoring of tide tables and weather to determine extent of high tides
- Pre cleaning of beaches by moving waste above high tide lines to minimize waste

- Determination of logistical requirements and arranging of waste removal and disposal
- Staging of equipment and housing of response personnel as close to the job site as possible to maximize on-site work time
- Boom tending, repair, replacement and security (use of local assets may be advantageous)
- Constant awareness of weather and oil movement for resource re-deployment as necessary
- Earthen berms and shoreline protection boom may be considered to protect sensitive inland areas
- Requisitioning of earth moving equipment
- Plan for efficient and safe use of personnel, ensuring:
    - A continual supply of the proper Personal Protective Equipment
    - Heating or cooling areas when needed
    - Medical coverage
    - Command and control systems (i.e. communications)
    - Personnel accountability measures
- Remediation requirements, i.e., replacement of sands, rip rap, etc.
- Availability of surface washing agents and associated protocol requirements for their use (see National Contingency Plan Product Schedule for list of possible agents)
- Discussions with all stakeholders, i.e., land owners, refuge/park managers, and others as appropriate, covering the following:
    - Access to areas
    - Possible response measures and impact of property and ongoing operations
    - Determination of any specific safety concerns
    - Any special requirements or prohibitions
    - Area security requirements
    - Handling of waste
    - Remediation expectations
    - Vehicle traffic control
    - Domestic animal safety concerns
    - Wildlife or exotic game concerns/issues

Inland and Coastal Marsh Protection and Response Considerations and Actions

- All considered response methods will be weighed against the possible damage they may do to the marsh. Methods will be approved by the Unified Command only after discussions with local Stakeholder, as identified above.
    - In-situ burn may be considered when marshes have been impacted
- Passive clean up of marshes should considered and appropriate stocks of sorbent boom and/or sweep obtained.
- Response personnel must be briefed on methods to traverse the marsh, i.e.,
    - use of appropriate vessel
    - use of temporary walkways or road ways
- Discuss and gain approval prior cutting or moving vessels through vegetation
- Discuss use of vessels that may disturb wildlife, i.e, airboats

- Safe movement of vessels through narrow cuts and blind curves
- Consider the possibility that no response in a marsh may be best
- In the deployment of any response asset, actions will be taken to ensure the safest, most efficient operations possible. This includes, but is not limited to:
    - Placement of recovered oil or waste storage as near to vessels or beach cleanup crews as possible.
    - Planning for stockage of high use items for expeditious replacement
    - Housing of personnel as close to the work site as possible to minimize travel time
    - Use of shallow water craft
    - Use of communication systems appropriate ensure command and control of assets
    - Use of appropriate boom in areas that I can offer effective protection
    - Planning of waste collection and removal to maximize cleanup efficiency
- Consideration or on-site remediation of contaminated soils to minimize replacement operations and impact on the area

Decanting Strategy

Recovered oil and water mixtures will typically separate into distinct phases when left in a quiescent state. When separation occurs, the relatively clean water phase can be siphoned or decanted back to the recovery point with minimal, if any, impact. Decanting therefore increases the effective on-site oil storage capacity and equipment operating time. FOSC/SOSC approval will be requested prior to decanting operations. This practice is routinely used for oil spill recovery.

CGA Equipment Limitations

The capability for any spill response equipment, whether a dedicated or portable system, to operate in differing weather conditions will be directly in relation to the capabilities of the vessel the system in placed on. Most importantly, however, the decision to operate will be based on the judgment of the Unified Command and/or the Captain of the vessel, who will ultimately have the final say in terminating operations. Skimming equipment listed below may have operational limits which exceed those safety thresholds. As was seen in the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) oil spill response, vessel skimming operations ceased when seas reached 5-6 feet and vessels were often recalled to port when those conditions were exceeded. Systems below are some of the most up-to-date systems available and were employed during the DWH spill.

Boom	3 foot seas, 20 knot winds
Dispersants	Winds more than 25 knots Visibility less than 3 nautical miles Ceiling less than 1,000 feet.
FRU	8 foot seas
HOSS Barge/OSRB	8 foot seas
Koseq Arms	8 foot seas
OSRV	4 foot seas

Environmental Conditions in the GOM

Louisiana is situated between the easterly and westerly wind belts, and therefore, experiences westerly winds during the winter and easterly winds in the summer. Average wind speed is generally 14-15 mph along the coast. Wave heights average 4 and 5 feet. However, during hurricane season, Louisiana has recorded wave heights ranging from 40 to 50 feet high and winds reaching speeds of 100 mph. Because much of southern Louisiana lies below sea level, flooding is prominent.

Surface water temperature ranges between 70 and 80˚F during the summer months. During the winter, the average temperature will range from 50 and 60˚F.

The Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico hurricane season is officially from 1 June to 30 November. 97% of all tropical activity occurs within this window. The Atlantic basin shows a very peaked season from August through October, with 78% of the tropical storm days, 87% of the minor (Saffir-Simpson Scale categories 1 and 2) hurricane days, and 96% of the major (Saffir-Simpson categories 3, 4 and 5) hurricane days occurring then. Maximum activity is in early to mid September. Once in a few years there may be a hurricane occurring "out of season" - primarily in May or December. Globally, September is the most active month and May is the least active month.

FIGURE 1
TRAJECTORY BY LAND SEGMENT

Trajectory of a spill and the probability of it impacting a land segment have been projected utilizing Walter Oil & Gas Corporation's WCD and information in the BOEM Oil Spill Risk Analysis Model (OSRAM) for the Central and Western Gulf of Mexico available on the BOEM website using 30 day impact. The results are tabulated below.

Area/Block	OCS-G	Launch Area	Land Segment and/or Resource	Conditional Probability (%) within 30 days
Drill, complete and test 1 well **EW 833, Well Location A** *65 miles from shore*	G35960	C042	Matagorda, TX Galveston, TX Jefferson, TX **Cameron, LA** Vermilion, LA Iberia, LA Terrebonne, LA Lafourche, LA Jefferson, LA Plaquemines, LA	1 2 2 7 3 1 3 2 1 5

WCD Scenario– <u>BASED ON WELL BLOWOUT DURING DRILLING OPERATIONS</u> (65 miles from shore)
107,106 bbls of crude oil (Volume considering natural weathering)
API Gravity 23.7°

FIGURE 2 – Equipment Response Time to EW 833, Well Location A

Dispersants/Surveillance

Dispersant/Surveillance	Dispersant Capacity (gal)	Persons Req.	From	Hrs to Procure	Hrs to Loadout	Travel to site	Total Hrs
ASI							
Basler 67T	2000	2	Houma	2	2	0.6	4.6
DC 3	1200	2	Houma	2	2	0.7	4.7
DC 3	1200	2	Houma	2	2	0.7	4.7
Aero Commander	NA	2	Houma	2	2	0.6	4.6

Offshore Response

Offshore Equipment Pre-Determined Staging	EDRC	Storage Capacity	VOO	Persons Required	From	Hrs to Procure	Hrs to Loadout	Hrs to GOM	Travel to Spill Site	Hrs to Deploy	Total Hrs
CGA											
HOSS Barge	76285	4000	3 Tugs	8	Harvey	7	0	5	8.8	1	21.8
95' FRV	22885	249	NA	6	Galveston	2	0	2	15	0	19
95' FRV	22885	249	NA	6	Leeville	2	0	2	3.5	0	7.5
95' FRV	22885	249	NA	6	Venice	2	0	2	3.5	0	7.5
95' FRV	22885	249	NA	6	Vermilion	2	0	2	9	0	13
Boom Barge (CGA-300) 42" Auto Boom (25000')	NA	NA	1 Tug 50 Crew	4 (Barge) 2 (Per Crew)	Leeville	4	0	6	10	2	22

Recovered Oil Storage Pre-Determined Staging	EDRC	Storage Capacity	VOO	Persons Required	From	Hrs to Procure	Hrs to Loadout	Hrs to GOM	Travel to Spill Site	Hrs to Deploy	Total Hrs
Enterprise Marine Services LLC (Available through contract with CGA)											
CTCo 2603	NA	25000	1 Tug	6	Amelia	28	12	4	15	1	60
Kirby Offshore (available through contract with CGA)											
RO Barge	NA	80000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	80000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	80000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	80000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	100000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	100000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	100000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	100000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	110000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	130000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	140000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	150000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60
RO Barge	NA	160000+	1 Tug	6	Venice	49	0	2	9	0	60

Staging Area: Fourchon

Offshore Equipment With Staging	EDRC	Storage Capacity	VOO	Persons Req.	From	Hrs to Procure	Hrs to Loadout	Travel to Staging	Travel to Site	Hrs to Deploy	Total Hrs
T&T Marine (available through direct contract with CGA)											
Aqua Guard Triton RBS (1)	22323	2000	1 Utility	6	Galveston	4	12	12	6	2	36
Aqua Guard Triton RBS (1)	22323	2000	1 Utility	6	Harvey	4	12	3	6	2	27
Koseq Skimming Arms (2) Lamor brush	45770	2000	1 Utility	6	Harvey	24	24	3	6	2	59
Koseq Skimming Arms (4) MariFlex 150 HF	72652	4000	2 Utility	12	Harvey	24	24	3	6	2	59
Koseq Skimming Arms (10) Lamor brush	228850	10000	5 Utility	30	Galveston	24	24	12	6	2	68
Koseq Skimming Arms (6) MariFlex 150 HF	108978	6000	3 Utility	18	Galveston	24	24	12	6	2	68
CGA											
FRU (1) + 100 bbl Tank (2)	4251	200	1 Utility	6	Morgan City	2	2	3	6	1	14
FRU (1) + 100 bbl Tank (2)	4251	200	1 Utility	6	Vermilion	2	2	5.5	6	1	16.5
FRU (1) + 100 bbl Tank (2)	4251	200	1 Utility	6	Galveston	2	2	12	6	1	23
FRU (1) + 100 bbl Tank (2)	4251	200	1 Utility	6	Aransas Pass	2	2	16.5	6	1	27.5
FRU (1) + 100 bbl Tank (2)	4251	200	1 Utility	6	Lake Charles	2	2	7	6	1	18
FRU (2) + 100 bbl Tank (4)	8502	400	2 Utility	12	Leeville	2	2	0.5	6	1	11.5
FRU (2) + 100 bbl Tank (4)	8502	400	2 Utility	12	Venice	2	2	5	6	1	16

Nearshore Response

Nearshore Equipment Pre-determined Staging	EDRC	Storage Capacity	VOO	Persons Required	From	Hrs to Procure	Hrs to Loadout	Hrs to GOM	Travel to Spill Site	Hrs to Deploy	Total Hrs
CGA											
Trinity SWS	21500	249	NA	4	Morgan City	2	6	N/A	48	0	56
Trinity SWS	21500	249	NA	4	Lake Charles	2	6	N/A	48	0	56
Trinity SWS	21500	249	NA	4	Vermilion	2	6	N/A	48	0	56
Trinity SWS	21500	249	NA	4	Galveston	2	6	N/A	48	0	56
46' FRV	15257	65	NA	4	Aransas Pass	2	0	2	13	0	17
46' FRV	15257	65	NA	4	Morgan City	2	0	2	6	0	10
46' FRV	15257	65	NA	4	Lake Charles	2	0	2	2.5	0	6.5
46' FRV	15257	65	NA	4	Venice	2	0	2	12	0	16
Enterprise Marine Services LLC (Available through contract with CGA)											
CTCo 2604	NA	20000	1 Tug	6	Amelia	28	12	4	15	1	60
CTCo 2605	NA	20000	1 Tug	6	Amelia	28	12	4	15	1	60
CTCo 2606	NA	20000	1 Tug	6	Amelia	28	12	4	15	1	60
CTCo 2607	NA	23000	1 Tug	6	Amelia	28	12	4	15	1	60
CTCo 2608	NA	23000	1 Tug	6	Amelia	28	12	4	15	1	60
CTCo 2609	NA	23000	1 Tug	6	Amelia	28	12	4	15	1	60
CTCo 5001	NA	47000	1 Tug	6	Amelia	28	12	4	15	1	60

Staging Area: Cameron

Nearshore Equipment With Staging	EDRC	Storage Capacity	VOO	Persons Req.	From	Hrs to Procure	Hrs to Load Out	Travel to Staging	Travel to Deployment	Hrs to Deploy	Total Hrs
CGA											
SWS Egmopol	1810	100	NA	3	Galveston	2	2	5	2	0	11
SWS Egmopol	1810	100	NA	3	Morgan City	2	2	4.5	2	0	10.5
SWS Marco	3588	20	NA	3	Lake Charles	2	2	1.5	2	0	7.5
SWS Marco	3588	34	NA	3	Leeville	2	2	7	2	0	13
SWS Marco	3588	34	NA	3	Venice	2	2	9.5	2	0	15.5
Rope Mop	77	2	0	3	Harvey	2	2	7	2	0	13
Foilex Skim Package (TDS 150)	1131	50	NA	3	Lake Charles	2	2	1.5	2	0	7.5
Foilex Skim Package (TDS 150)	1131	50	NA	3	Galveston	2	2	5	2	0	11
Foilex Skim Package (TDS 150)	1131	50	NA	3	Harvey	2	2	7	2	0	13
4 Drum Skimmer (Magnum 100)	680	100	1 Crew	3	Lake Charles	2	2	1.5	2	0	7.5
4 Drum Skimmer (Magnum 100)	680	100	1 Crew	3	Harvey	2	2	7	2	0	13
2 Drum Skimmer (TDS 118)	240	100	1 Crew	3	Lake Charles	2	2	1.5	2	0	7.5
2 Drum Skimmer (TDS 118)	240	100	1 Crew	3	Harvey	2	2	7	2	0	13

Staging Area: Cameron

Shoreline Protection Boom	VOO	Persons Req.	Storage/Warehouse Location	Hrs to Procure	Hrs to Loadout	Travel to Staging	Travel to Deployment	Hrs to Deploy	Total Hrs
OMI Environmental (available through MSA)									
12,500' 18" Boom	6 Crew	12	New Iberia, LA	1	1	4	2	3	11
6,400' 18" Boom	3 Crew	6	Houston, TX	1	1	4	2	3	11
3,500' 18" Boom	2 Crew	4	Port Arthur, TX	1	1	2	2	3	9
4,000' 18" Boom	2 Crew	4	Longview, TX	1	1	8	2	3	15
4,850' 18" Boom	2 Crew	4	Belle Chasse, LA	1	1	8	2	3	15
8,000' 18" Boom	3 Crew	6	Port Allen, LA	1	1	5	2	3	12
2,000' 18" Boom	1 Crew	2	Houma, LA	1	1	7	2	3	14
2,500' 18" Boom	1 Crew	2	Morgan City, LA	1	1	5	2	3	12
1,600' 18" Boom	1 Crew	2	Gonzalez, LA	1	1	8	2	3	15
1,900' 18" Boom	1 Crew	2	St. James, LA	1	1	6	2	3	13
2,000' 18" Boom	1 Crew	2	Galliano, LA	1	1	7	2	3	14
1,000' 18" Boom	1 Crew	2	St. Rose, LA	1	1	7	2	3	14
1,000' 18" Boom	1 Crew	2	Hackberry, LA	1	1	1	2	3	8
5,800' 18" Boom	3 Crew	6	Venice, LA	1	1	9	2	3	16
13,300' 18" Boom	6 Crew	12	Harvey, LA	1	1	7	2	3	14

Wildlife Response	EDRC	Storage Capacity	VOO	Persons Req.	From	Hrs to Procure	Hrs to Loadout	Travel to Staging	Travel to Deployment	Hrs to Deploy	Total Hrs
CGA											
Wildlife Support Trailer	NA	NA	NA	2	Harvey	2	2	7	1	2	14
Bird Scare Guns (24)	NA	NA	NA	2	Harvey	2	2	7	1	2	14
Bird Scare Guns (12)	NA	NA	NA	2	Galveston	2	2	5	1	2	12
Bird Scare Guns (12)	NA	NA	NA	2	Aransas Pass	2	2	9.5	1	2	16.5
Bird Scare Guns (48)	NA	NA	NA	2	Lake Charles	2	2	1.5	1	2	8.5
Bird Scare Guns (24)	NA	NA	NA	2	Leeville	2	2	7	1	2	14

Response Asset	Total
Offshore EDRC	706,980
Offshore Recovered Oil Capacity	1,467,796+
Nearshore / Shallow Water EDRC	166,722
Nearshore / Shallow Water Recovered Oil Capacity	178,096

SECTION 9
ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING INFORMATION

9.1 MONITORING SYSTEMS

There are no environmental monitoring systems currently in place or planned for the proposed activities.

9.2 INCIDENTAL TAKES

There is no reason to believe that any of the endangered species or marine mammals as listed in the Endangered Species Act (ESA) will be "taken" as a result of the operations proposed under this plan.

It has been documented that the use of explosives and or seismic devices can affect marine life. Operations proposed in this plan will not be utilizing either of these devices.

Walter will adhere to the requirements as set forth in the following documents, as applicable, to avoid or minimize impacts to any of the species listed in the ESA as a result of the operations conducted herein:

- NTL No. 2015-BSEE-G03, "Marine Trash and Debris Awareness and Elimination"
- NTL No. 2016-BOEM-G01, "Vessel Strike Avoidance and Injured/Dead Protected Species Reporting"
- NTL No. 2016-BOEM-G02, "Implementation of Seismic Survey Mitigation Measures and Protected Species Observer Program"

9.3 FLOWER GARDEN BANKS NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARY

Ewing Bank Block 833 is not located in the Flower Garden Banks National Marine Sanctuary; therefore, relevant information is not required in this EP.

SECTION 10
LEASE STIPULATIONS INFORMATION

Exploration activities are subject to the following stipulations attached to Lease OCS-G 35960, Ewing Bank Block 833.

10.1 MARINE PROTECTED SPECIES

In accordance with the Federal Endangered Species Act and the Marine Mammal Protection Act, Walter will:

(a) Collect and remove flotsam resulting from activities related to exploration, development, and production of this lease;

(b) Post signs in prominent places on all vessels and platforms used as a result of activities related to exploration, development, and production of this lease detailing the reasons (legal and ecological) why release of debris must be eliminated;

(c) Observe for marine mammals and sea turtles while on vessels, reduce vessel speed to 10 knots or less when assemblages of cetaceans are observed, and maintain a distance of 90 meters or greater from whales, and a distance of 45 meters or greater from small cetaceans and sea turtles;

(d) Employ mitigation measures prescribed by BOEM/BSEE or the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) for all seismic surveys, including the use of an "exclusion zone" based upon the appropriate water depth, ramp-up and shutdown procedures, visual monitoring, and reporting;

(e) Identify important habitats, including designated critical habitat, used by listed species (e.g., sea turtle nesting beaches, piping plover critical habitat), in oil spill contingency planning and require the strategic placement of spill cleanup equipment to be used only by personnel trained in less-intrusive cleanup techniques on beaches and bay shores; and

(f) Immediately report all sightings and locations of injured or dead protected species (e.g., marine mammals and sea turtles) to the appropriate stranding network. If oil and gas industry activity is responsible for the injured or dead animal (e.g., because of a vessel strike), the responsible parties should remain available to assist the stranding network. If the injury or death was caused by a collision with the lessee's vessel, the lessee must notify BOEM within 24 hours of the strike.

BOEM and BSEE issue Notices to Lessees (NTLs), which more fully describe measures implemented in support of the above-mentioned implementing statutes and regulations, as well as measures identified by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and NMFS arising from, among others, conservation recommendations, rulemakings pursuant to the MMPA, or consultation. The lessee and its operators, personnel, and subcontractors, while undertaking activities authorized under this lease, must implement and comply with the specific mitigation measures outlined in NTL No. 2016-BOEM-G01, "Vessel Strike Avoidance and Injured/Dead Protected

Species Reporting;" NTL No. 2016-BOEM-G02, "Implementation of Seismic Survey Mitigation Measures and Protected Species Observer Program;" and NTL No. 2015-BSEE-G03, "Marine Trash and Debris Awareness and Elimination." At the lessee's option, the lessee, its operators, personnel, and contractors may comply with the most current measures to protect species in place at the time an activity is undertaken under this lease, including but not limited to new or updated versions of the NTLs identified in this paragraph. The lessee and its operators, personnel, and subcontractors will be required to comply with the mitigation measures, identified in the above referenced NTLs, and additional measures in the conditions of approvals for their plans or permits.

SECTION 11
ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES INFORMATION

11.1 MEASURES TAKEN TO AVOID, MINIMIZE, AND MITIGATE IMPACTS

This plan does not propose activities for which the state of Florida is an affected state; therefore, mitigation information is not required for the activities proposed in this plan.

11.2 INCIDENTAL TAKES

Walter will adhere to the requirements set forth in the following documents, as applicable, to avoid or minimize impacts to any of the species listed in the Endangered Species Act (ESA) as a result of the operations conducted herein:

- NTL No. 2015-BSEE-G03, "Marine Trash and Debris Awareness and Elimination"
- NTL No. 2016-BOEM-G01, "Vessel Strike Avoidance and Injured/Dead Protected Species Reporting"
- NTL No. 2016-BOEM-G02, "Implementation of Seismic Survey Mitigation Measures and Protected Species Observer Program"

SECTION 12
SUPPORT VESSELS AND AIRCRAFT INFORMATION

12.1 GENERAL

The most practical, direct route from the shorebase as permitted by weather and traffic conditions will be utilized. Information regarding the vessels and aircraft to be used to support the proposed activities is provided in the table below.

Type	Maximum Fuel Tank Capacity	Maximum Number in Area at Any Time	Trip Frequency or Duration
Crew boat	500 bbl	1	7 trips/week
Work boat	3,400 bbl	2	7 trips/week
Helicopter	560 gal	1	As needed

12.2 DIESEL OIL SUPPLY VESSELS

Information regarding vessels to be used to supply diesel oil for fuel and other purposes is provided in the table below.

Size of Fuel Supply Vessel (ft)	Capacity of Fuel Supply Vessel	Frequency of Fuel Transfers	Route Fuel Supply Vessel Will Take
180’	1,500 bbl	One per week	Shortest route from Shorebase to block

12.3 DRILLING FLUID TRANSPORTATION

Drilling fluid transportation information is not required to be submitted with this plan.

12.4 SOLID AND LIQUID WASTE TRANSPORTATION

A table, “Wastes You Will Transport and/or Dispose of Onshore,” is included as **Attachment 12-A.**

12.5 VICINITY MAP

A vicinity map showing the location of the activities proposed herein relative to the shoreline with the distance of the proposed activities from the shoreline and the primary routes of the support vessels and aircraft that will be used when traveling between the onshore support facilities and the drilling unit is included as **Attachment 12-B.**

Attachment 12-A EW 833 (PBHL EW 834), WELL LOCATION A SIDETRACK DRL / CMPL WASTES YOU WILL TRANSPORT AND /OR DISPOSE OF ONSHORE

Please specify whether the amount reported is a total or per well

	Projected Generated Waste		Solid and Liquid Wastes Transportation		Waste Disposal		
Type of Waste	**Composition**		**Transport Method**		**Name/Location of Facility**	**Amount**	**Disposal Method**
Will drilling occur ? If yes, fill in the muds and cuttings.							
Oil-based drilling fluid or mud	NA		NA		NA	NA	NA
Synthetic-based drilling fluid or mud	Synthetic Fluid		Below Deck Storage Tanks on Workboat		M-I SWACO Plant, Fourchon, LA	6000 bbls	Recycle
Cuttings wetted with Water-based fluid	NA		NA		NA	NA	NA
Cuttings wetted with Synthetic-based fluid	NA		NA		NA	NA	NA
Cuttings wetted with oil-based fluids	NA		NA		NA	NA	NA
Completion Fluids	$CaCl_2/CaBr_2/ZnBr_2$		Below Deck Storage Tanks on Workboat		NOV Plant Fourchon, LA	4000 bbls	Recycle
Completion Wash fluids from tank cleaning.	$CaCl_2/CaBr_2/ZnBr_2$ / wash water		Tank trucks		US Liquids Fourchon, LA	200 bbls	Recycle or incinerate
Will you produce hydrocarbons? If yes fill in for produced sand.							
Produced sand	NA		NA		NA	NA	NA
Will you have additional wastes that are not permitted for discharge? If yes, fill in the appropriate rows.							
Trash and debris (non-recyclable)	While drilling Paper & Plastic		Garbage bags on Supply or Crew Boat		HOS Port, Fourchon, LA	57 Bags at 40 cuft per bag	Landfill
Used oil	Oily rags/absorbent pads, used oil filters		19 DOT Drums on Supply boat		US Liquids, Fourchon, LA	7 Drums	Incineration
Wash water	NA		NA		NA	NA	NA
Chemical product wastes	While Drilling-Paint, solvents, light bulbs		Storage Bins on Supply or Crew Boat		Newpark Environmental, Cameron, LA	200 lb./yr	Recycle or Incinerate
Trash and debris (recyclable)	Batteries		5 gallon Drum		Newpark Environmental, Cameron, LA	10 gal/yr	Recycled

ATTACHMENT 12-B

Walter Oil & Gas Corporation

Vicinity Map
Ewing Bank 833



SECTION 13
ONSHORE SUPPORT FACILITIES INFORMATION

13.1 GENERAL

The onshore facilities that will be used to provide supply and service support for the proposed activities are provided in the table below.

Name	Location	Existing/New/Modified
Fourchon Service Base	Fourchon, Louisiana	Existing
Rotocraft	Galliano, Louisiana	Existing

13.2 SUPPORT BASE CONSTRUCTION OR EXPANSION

There will be no new construction of an onshore support base, nor will Walter expand the existing shorebase as a result of the operations proposed in this EP.

13.3 SUPPORT BASE CONSTRUCTION OR EXPANSION TIMETABLE

A support base construction or expansion timetable is not required for the activities proposed in this plan.

13.4 WASTE DISPOSAL

The Table, "Wastes You Will Transport and/or Dispose of Onshore, "is included as **Attachment 12-A.**

SECTION 14
COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT ACT (CZMA) INFORMATION

Coastal Zone Management certification is not required for activities proposed in this plan.

SECTION 15
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

The Environmental Impact Analysis is included as **Attachment 15-A.**

Attachment 15-A

Walter Oil & Gas Corporation (Walter)

Supplemental Exploration Plan
Ewing Bank Block 833
OCS-G 35960

(A) IMPACT PRODUCING FACTORS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS WORKSHEET

Environment Resources	Impact Producing Factors (IPFs) Categories and Examples Refer to recent GOM OCS Lease Sale EIS for a more complete list of IPFs					
	Emissions (air, noise, light, etc.)	Effluents (muds, cutting, other discharges to the water column or seafloor)	Physical disturbances to the seafloor (rig or anchor emplacements, etc.)	Wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal	Accidents (e.g., oil spills, chemical spills, H_2S releases)	Discarded Trash & Debris
Site-specific at Offshore Location						
Designated topographic features		(1)	(1)		(1)	
Pinnacle Trend area live bottoms		(2)	(2)		(2)	
Eastern Gulf live bottoms		(3)	(3)		(3)	
Benthic communities			(4)			
Water quality		X			X	
Fisheries		X			X	
Marine Mammals	X(8)	X			X(8)	X
Sea Turtles	X(8)	X			X(8)	X
Air quality	X(9)					
Shipwreck sites (known or potential)			(7)			
Prehistoric archaeological sites			(7)			
Vicinity of Offshore Location						
Essential fish habitat		X			X(6)	
Marine and pelagic birds					X	X
Public health and safety					(5)	
Coastal and Onshore						
Beaches					X(6)	X
Wetlands					X(6)	
Shore birds and coastal nesting birds					X6)	
Coastal wildlife refuges						
Wilderness areas						

Footnotes for Environmental Impact Analysis Matrix

1) Activities that may affect a marine sanctuary or topographic feature. Specifically, if the well or platform site or any anchors will be on the seafloor within the:
   - 4-mile zone of the Flower Garden Banks, or the 3-mile zone of Stetson Bank;
   - 1000-m, 1-mile or 3-mile zone of any topographic feature (submarine bank) protected by the Topographic Features Stipulation attached to an OCS lease;
   - Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) criteria of 500 ft. from any no-activity zone; or
   - Proximity of any submarine bank (500 ft. buffer zone) with relief greater than 2 meters that is not protected by the Topographic Features Stipulation attached to an OCS lease.
2) Activities with any bottom disturbance within an OCS lease block protected through the Live Bottom (Pinnacle Trend) Stipulation attached to an OCS lease.
3) Activities within any Eastern Gulf OCS block where seafloor habitats are protected by the Live Bottom (Low-Relief) Stipulation attached to an OCS lease.
4) Activities on blocks designated by the BOEM as being in water depths 300 meters or greater.
5) Exploration or production activities where H2S concentrations greater than 500 ppm might be encountered.
6) All activities that could result in an accidental spill of produced liquid hydrocarbons or diesel fuel that you determine would impact these environmental resources. If the proposed action is located a sufficient distance from a resource that no impact would occur, the EIA can note that in a sentence or two.
7) All activities that involve seafloor disturbances, including anchor emplacements, in any OCS block designated by the BOEM as having high-probability for the occurrence of shipwrecks or prehistoric sites, including such blocks that will be affected that are adjacent to the lease block in which your planned activity will occur. If the proposed activities are located a sufficient distance from a shipwreck or a prehistoric site that no impact would occur, the EIA can note that in a sentence or two.
8) All activities that you determine might have an adverse effect on endangered or threatened marine mammals or sea turtles or their critical habitats.
9) Production activities that involve transportation of produced fluids to shore using shuttle tankers or barges.

(B) Analysis

Site-Specific at Ewing Bank Block 833

Proposed operations consist of the sidetracking, completion, and testing of one well and the installation of a subsea tree. The surface location is Ewing Bank Block 833 and the bottom hole location is Ewing Bank Block 834.

The operations will be conducted with a dynamically positioned semi-submersible.

1. Designated Topographic Features

Potential IPFs on topographic features include effluents and accidents.

Effluents: Ewing Bank Block 833 is 42 miles from the closest designated Topographic Features Stipulation Block (Diaphus Bank); therefore, no adverse impacts are expected.

Accidents: It is unlikely that an accidental surface or subsurface spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to statistics in **Item 5**, Water Quality). Oil spills cause damage to benthic organisms only if the oil contacts the organisms. Oil from a surface spill can be driven into the water column; measurable amounts have been documented down to a 10 m depth. At this depth, the oil is found only at concentrations several orders of magnitude lower than the amount shown to have an effect on corals. Because the crests of topographic features in the Northern Gulf of Mexico are found below 10 m, no oil from a surface spill could reach their sessile biota. Oil from a subsurface spill is not applicable due to the distance of these blocks from a topographic area. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional OSRP (refer to information submitted in **Section 8**).

There are no other IPFs (including emissions, physical disturbances to the seafloor and wastes sent to shore for disposal) from the proposed activities, which could impact topographic features.

2. Pinnacle Trend Area Live Bottoms

Potential IPFs on pinnacle trend area live bottoms include effluents and accidents.

Effluents: Ewing Bank Block 833 is 118 miles from the closest live bottom (pinnacle trend) area; therefore, no adverse impacts are expected.

Accidents: It is unlikely that an accidental surface or subsurface spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to statistics in **Item 5**, Water Quality). Oil spills have the potential to foul benthic communities and cause lethal and sublethal effects on live bottom organisms. Oil from a surface spill can be driven into the water column; measurable amounts have been documented down to a 10 m depth. At this depth, the oil is found only at concentrations several

orders of magnitude lower than the amount shown to have an effect on marine organisms. Oil from a subsurface spill is not applicable due to the distance of these blocks from a live bottom (pinnacle trend) area. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional OSRP (refer to information submitted in **Section 8**).

There are no other IPFs (including emissions, physical disturbances to the seafloor and wastes sent to shore for disposal) from the proposed activities which could impact a live bottom (pinnacle trend) area.

3. Eastern Gulf Live Bottoms

Potential IPFs on Eastern Gulf live bottoms include effluents and accidents.

Effluents: Ewing Bank Block 833 is not located in an area characterized by the existence of live bottoms; therefore, no adverse impacts are expected.

Accidents: It is unlikely that an accidental surface or subsurface spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to statistics in **Item 5**, Water Quality). Oil spills cause damage to live bottom organisms only if the oil contacts the organisms. Oil from a surface spill can be driven into the water column; measurable amounts have been documented down to a 10 m depth. At this depth, the oil is found only at concentrations several orders of magnitude lower than the amount shown to have an effect on marine invertebrates. Oil from a subsurface spill is not applicable due to the distance of these blocks from a live bottom area. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional OSRP (refer to information submitted in **Section 8**).

There are no other IPFs (including emissions, physical disturbances to the seafloor and wastes sent to shore for disposal) from the proposed activities which could impact an Eastern Gulf live bottom area.

4. Benthic Communities

There are no IPFs (including emissions, physical disturbances to the seafloor, wastes sent to shore for disposal, or accidents) from the proposed activities that could cause impacts to benthic communities.

A dynamically positioned semi-submersible is being used for the proposed activities; therefore, only an insignificant amount of seafloor will be disturbed. Because physical disturbances to the seafloor will be minimized by the use of a dynamically positioned semi-submersible, Walter's proposed operations in Ewing Bank Block 833 would not cause impacts to benthic communities.

5. Water Quality

IPFs that could result in water quality degradation from the proposed operations in Ewing Bank Block 833 include effluents and accidents.

Effluents: Levels of contaminants in drilling muds and cuttings and produced water discharges, discharge-rate restrictions and monitoring and toxicity testing are regulated by the EPA NPDES permit, thereby eliminating many significant biological or ecological effects. Operational discharges are not expected to cause significant adverse impacts to water quality.

Accidents: Oil spills have the potential to alter offshore water quality; however, it is unlikely that an accidental surface or subsurface spill would occur from the proposed activities. Between 1980 and 2000, OCS operations produced 4.7 billion barrels of oil and spilled only 0.001 percent of this oil, or 1 bbl for every 81,000 bbl produced. The spill risk related to a diesel spill from drilling operations is even less. Between 1976 and 1985, (years for which data were collected), there were 80 reported diesel spills greater than one barrel associated with drilling activities. Considering that there were 11,944 wells drilled, this is a 0.7 percent probability of an occurrence. If a spill were to occur, the water quality of marine waters would be temporarily affected by the dissolved components and small oil droplets. Dispersion by currents and microbial degradation would remove the oil from the water column and dilute the constituents to background levels. Historically, changes in offshore water quality from oil spills have only been detected during the life of the spill and up to several months afterwards. Most of the components of oil are insoluble in water and therefore float. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional Oil Spill Response Plan (refer to information submitted in **Section 8**).

There are no other IPFs (including emissions, physical disturbances to the seafloor, and wastes sent to shore for disposal) from the proposed activities which could cause impacts to water quality.

6. Fisheries

IPFs that could cause impacts to fisheries as a result of the proposed operations in Ewing Bank Block 833 include effluents and accidents.

Effluents: Effluents such as drilling fluids and cuttings discharges contain components and properties which are detrimental to fishery resources. Moderate petroleum and metal contamination of sediments and the water column can occur out to several hundred meters down-current from the discharge point. Offshore discharges are expected to disperse and dilute to very near background levels in the water column or on the seafloor within 3,000 m of the discharge point, and are expected to have negligible effect on fisheries.

Accidents: An accidental oil spill has the potential to cause some detrimental effects on fisheries; however, it is unlikely that such an event would occur from the proposed activities

(refer to **Item 5**, Water Quality). The effects of oil on mobile adult finfish or shellfish would likely be sublethal and the extent of damage would be reduced to the capacity of adult fish and shellfish to avoid the spill, to metabolize hydrocarbons, and to excrete both metabolites and parent compounds. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional OSRP (refer to information submitted in **Section 8**).

There are no IPFs from emissions, physical disturbances to the seafloor or wastes sent to shore for disposal from the proposed activities which could cause impacts to fisheries.

7. Marine Mammals

GulfCet II studies revealed that cetaceans of the continental shelf and shelf-edge were almost exclusively bottlenose dolphin and Atlantic spotted dolphin. Squid eaters, including dwarf and pygmy killer whale, Risso's dolphin, rough-toothed dolphin, and Cuvier's beaked whale, occurred most frequently along the upper slope in areas outside of anticyclones. IPFs that could cause impacts to marine mammals as a result of the proposed operations in Ewing Bank Block 833 include emissions, effluents, discarded trash and debris, and accidents.

Emissions: Noises from drilling activities, support vessels and helicopters may elicit a startle reaction from marine mammals. This reaction may lead to disruption of marine mammals' normal activities. Stress may make them more vulnerable to parasites, disease, environmental contaminants, and/or predation (Majors and Myrick, 1990). There is little conclusive evidence for long-term displacements and population trends for marine mammals relative to noise.

Effluents: Drilling fluids and cuttings discharges contain components which may be detrimental to marine mammals. Most operational discharges are diluted and dispersed upon release. Any potential impact from drilling fluids would be indirect, either as a result of impacts on prey items or possibly through ingestion in the food chain (API, 1989).

Discarded trash and debris: Both entanglement in, and ingestion of debris have caused the death or serious injury of marine mammals (Laist, 1997; MMC, 1999). The limited amount of marine debris, if any, resulting from the proposed activities is not expected to substantially harm marine mammals. Operators are prohibited from deliberately discharging debris as mandated by MARPOL-Annex V and the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act, and regulations imposed by various agencies including the United States Coast Guard (USCG) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Walter will operate in accordance with the regulations and also avoid accidental loss of solid waste items by maintaining waste management plans, manifesting trash sent to shore, and using special precautions such as covering outside trash bins to prevent accidental loss of solid waste. Special caution will be exercised when handling and disposing of small items and packaging materials, particularly those made of non-biodegradable, environmentally persistent materials such as plastic or glass.

Informational placards will be posted on all vessels and facilities having sleeping or food preparation capabilities. All offshore personnel, including contractors and other support services-related personnel (e.g. helicopter pilots, vessel captains and boat crews) will be indoctrinated on waste procedures, and will view the video (or Microsoft PowerPoint presentation), "Think About It" (*previously "All Washed Up: The Beach Litter Problem"*). Thereafter, all personnel will view the marine trash and debris training video annually. Offshore personnel will also receive an explanation from Walter management or the designated lease operator management that emphasizes their commitment to waste management in accordance with NTL No. 2015-G03-BSEE.

Accidents: Collisions between support vessels and cetaceans would be unusual events, however should one occur, death or injury to marine mammals is possible. Contract vessel operators can avoid marine mammals and reduce potential deaths by maintaining a vigilant watch for marine mammals and maintaining a safe distance when they are sighted. Vessel personnel should use a Gulf of Mexico reference guide to help identify the twenty-one species of whales and dolphins, and the single species of manatee that may be encountered in the Gulf of Mexico OCS. Vessel personnel must report sightings of any injured or dead protected marine mammal species immediately, regardless of whether the injury or death is caused by their vessel, to the NMFS Southeast Marine Mammal Stranding Hotline at 1-877-433-8299 (http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/health/report.htm#southeast). Any injured or dead protected species should also be reported to takereport.nmfsser@noaa.gov. In addition, if the injury or death was caused by a collision with a contract vessel, the BOEM must be notified within 24 hours of the strike by email to protectedspecies@bsee.gov. If the vessel is the responsible party, it is required to remain available to assist the respective salvage and stranding network as needed.

Oil spills have the potential to cause sublethal oil-related injuries and spill-related deaths to marine mammals. However, it is unlikely that an accidental oil spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to **Item 5**, Water Quality). Oil spill response activities may increase vessel traffic in the area, which could add to changes in cetacean behavior and/or distribution, thereby causing additional stress to the animals. The effect of oil dispersants on cetaceans is not known. The acute toxicity of oil dispersant chemicals included in Walter's OSRP is considered to be low when compared with the constituents and fractions of crude oils and diesel products. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's OSRP (refer to information submitted in accordance with **Section 8**).

There are no other IPFs (including physical disturbances to the seafloor) from the proposed activities which could impact marine mammals.

8. Sea Turtles

IPFs that could cause impacts to sea turtles as a result of the proposed operations include emissions, effluents, discarded trash and debris, and accidents. GulfCet II studies sighted most

loggerhead, Kemp's ridley and leatherback sea turtles over shelf waters. Historically these species have been sighted up to the shelf's edge. They appear to be more abundant east of the Mississippi River than they are west of the river (Fritts et al., 1983b; Lohoefener et al., 1990). Deep waters may be used by all species as a transitory habitat.

Emissions: Noise from drilling activities, support vessels, and helicopters may elicit a startle reaction from sea turtles, but this is a temporary disturbance.

Effluents: Drilling fluids and cuttings discharges are not known to be lethal to sea turtles. Most operational discharges are diluted and dispersed upon release. Any potential impact from drilling fluids would be indirect, either as a result of impacts on prey items or possibly through ingestion in the food chain (API, 1989).

Discarded trash and debris: Both entanglement in, and ingestion of, debris have caused the death or serious injury of sea turtles (Balazs, 1985). The limited amount of marine debris, if any, resulting from the proposed activities is not expected to substantially harm sea turtles. Operators are prohibited from deliberately discharging debris as mandated by MARPOL-Annex V and the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act, and regulations imposed by various agencies including the United States Coast Guard (USCG) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Walter will operate in accordance with the regulations and also avoid accidental loss of solid waste items by maintaining waste management plans, manifesting trash sent to shore, and using special precautions such as covering outside trash bins to prevent accidental loss of solid waste. Special caution will be exercised when handling and disposing of small items and packaging materials, particularly those made of non-biodegradable, environmentally persistent materials such as plastic or glass.

Informational placards will be posted on all vessels and facilities having sleeping or food preparation capabilities. All offshore personnel, including contractors and other support services-related personnel (e.g. helicopter pilots, vessel captains and boat crews) will be indoctrinated on waste procedures, and will view the video (or Microsoft PowerPoint presentation), "Think About It" (*previously "All Washed Up: The Beach Litter Problem"*). Thereafter, all personnel will view the marine trash and debris training video annually. Offshore personnel will also receive an explanation from Walter management or the designated lease operator management that emphasizes their commitment to waste management in accordance with NTL No. 2015-G03-BSEE.

Accidents: Collisions between support vessels and sea turtles would be unusual events, however should one occur, death or injury to sea turtles is possible. Contract vessel operators can avoid sea turtles and reduce potential deaths by maintaining a vigilant watch for sea turtles and maintaining a safe distance when they are sighted. Vessel crews should use a reference guide to help identify the five species of sea turtles that may be encountered in the Gulf of Mexico OCS. Vessel crews must report sightings of any injured or dead protected sea turtle species immediately, regardless of whether the injury or death is caused by their vessel, to the State

Coordinators for the Sea Turtle Stranding and Salvage Network (STSSN) at http://www.sefsc.noaa.gov/species/turtles/stranding_coordinators.htm (phone numbers vary by state). Any injured or dead protected species should also be reported to takereport.nmfsser@noaa.gov. In addition, if the injury or death was caused by a collision with a contract vessel, the BOEM must be notified within 24 hours of the strike by email to protectedspecies@bsee.gov. If the vessel is the responsible party, it is required to remain available to assist the respective salvage and stranding network as needed.

All sea turtle species and their life stages are vulnerable to the harmful effects of oil through direct contact or by fouling of their food. Exposure to oil can be fatal, particularly to juveniles and hatchlings. However, it is unlikely that an accidental oil spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to **Item 5**, Water Quality). Oil spill response activities may increase vessel traffic in the area, which could add to the possibility of collisions with sea turtles. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional Oil Spill Response Plan (refer to information submitted in accordance with **Section 8**).

There are no other IPFs (including physical disturbances to the seafloor) from the proposed activities which could impact sea turtles.

9. Air Quality

Ewing Bank Block 833 is located 102 miles from the Breton Wilderness Area and 65 miles from shore. Applicable emissions data is included in **Section 7** of the Plan.

There would be a limited degree of air quality degradation in the immediate vicinity of the proposed activities. Plan Emissions for the proposed activities do not exceed the annual exemption levels as set forth by BOEM. Accidents and blowouts can release hydrocarbons or chemicals, which could cause the emission of air pollutants. However, these releases would not impact onshore air quality because of the prevailing atmospheric conditions, emission height, emission rates, and the distance of Ewing Bank Block 833 from the coastline. There are no other IPFs (including effluents, physical disturbances to the seafloor, wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal) from the proposed activities which would impact air quality.

10. Shipwreck Sites (known or potential)

Potential IPFs that could impact known or unknown shipwreck sites as a result of the proposed operations in Ewing Bank Block 833 include disturbances to the seafloor.

Physical disturbances to the seafloor: A dynamically positioned semi-submersible is being used for the proposed activities; therefore, only an insignificant amount of seafloor will be disturbed. Because physical disturbances to the seafloor will be minimized by the use of a dynamically positioned semi-submersible, Walter's proposed operations in Ewing Bank Block 833 would not cause impacts to shipwreck sites.

Additionally, Ewing Bank Block 833 is not located in or adjacent to an OCS block designated by BOEM as having a high probability for occurrence of shipwrecks, therefore, no adverse impacts are expected.

There are no other IPFs (including emissions, effluents, wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal, or accidents) from the proposed activities that could cause impacts to shipwreck sites.

11. Prehistoric Archaeological Sites

IPFs that could cause impacts to prehistoric archaeological sites as a result of the proposed operations in Ewing Bank Block 833 are physical disturbances to the seafloor and accidents (oil spills).

Physical Disturbances to the seafloor: Although the operations proposed will be conducted by utilizing a dynamically positioned semi-submersible, which would cause only an insignificant amount of seafloor to be disturbed, Ewing Bank Block 833 is located inside the Archaeological Prehistoric high probability lines. Walter will report to BOEM the discovery of any object of prehistoric archaeological significance and make every reasonable effort to preserve and protect that cultural resource.

Accidents: An accidental oil spill has the potential to cause some detrimental effects to prehistoric archaeological sites if the release were to occur subsea. However, it is unlikely that an accidental oil spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to **Item 5**, Water Quality). The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional Oil Spill Response Plan (refer to information submitted in accordance with **Section 8**).

There are no other IPFs (including emissions, effluents or wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal) from the proposed activities which could impact prehistoric archeological sites.

Vicinity of Offshore Location

1. Essential Fish Habitat (EFH)

IPFs that could cause impacts to EFH as a result of the proposed operations in Ewing Bank Block 833 include effluents and accidents. EFH includes all estuarine and marine waters and substrates in the Gulf of Mexico.

Effluents: The Live Bottom Low Relief Stipulation, the Live Bottom (Pinnacle Trend) Stipulation, and the Eastern Gulf Pinnacle Trend Stipulation would prevent most of the potential impacts on live-bottom communities and EFH from operational waste discharges. Levels of contaminants in drilling muds and cuttings and produced-water discharges, discharge-rate restrictions, and monitoring and toxicity testing are regulated by the EPA NPDES permit, thereby eliminating many significant biological or ecological effects. Operational discharges are not expected to cause significant adverse impacts to EFH.

Accidents: An accidental oil spill has the potential to cause some detrimental effects on EFH. Oil spills that contact coastal bays and estuaries, as well as OCS waters when pelagic eggs and larvae are present, have the greatest potential to affect fisheries. However, it is unlikely that an oil spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to **Item 5**, Water Quality). The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional OSRP (refer to information submitted in **Section 8**).

There are no other IPFs (including emissions, physical disturbances to the seafloor and wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal) from the proposed activities which could impact essential fish habitat.

2. Marine and Pelagic Birds

IPFs that could impact marine birds as a result of the proposed activities include air emissions, accidental oil spills, and discarded trash and debris from vessels and the facilities.

Emissions: Emissions of pollutants into the atmosphere from these activities are far below concentrations which could harm coastal and marine birds.

Accidents: An oil spill would cause localized, low-level petroleum hydrocarbon contamination. However, it is unlikely that an oil spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to **Item 5**, Water Quality). Marine and pelagic birds feeding at the spill location may experience chronic, nonfatal, physiological stress. It is expected that few, if any, coastal and marine birds would actually be affected to that extent. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional OSRP (refer to information submitted in **Section 8**).

Discarded trash and debris: Marine and pelagic birds could become entangled and snared in discarded trash and debris, or ingest small plastic debris, which can cause permanent injuries and death. Operators are prohibited from deliberately discharging debris as mandated by MARPOL-Annex V and the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act, and regulations imposed by various agencies including the United States Coast Guard (USCG) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Walter will operate in accordance with the regulations and also avoid accidental loss of solid waste items by maintaining waste management plans, manifesting trash sent to shore, and using special precautions such as covering outside trash bins to prevent accidental loss of solid waste. Special caution will be exercised when handling and disposing of small items and packaging materials, particularly those made of non-biodegradable, environmentally persistent materials such as plastic or glass. Informational placards will be posted on all vessels and facilities having sleeping or food preparation capabilities. All offshore personnel, including contractors and other support services-related personnel (e.g. helicopter pilots, vessel captains and boat crews) will be indoctrinated on waste procedures, and will view the video (or Microsoft PowerPoint presentation), "Think About It" (*previously "All Washed Up: The Beach Litter Problem"*). Thereafter, all personnel will view the marine trash and debris training video annually. Offshore personnel will also receive an explanation from Walter

management or the designated lease operator management that emphasizes their commitment to waste management in accordance with NTL No. 2015-G03-BSEE. Debris, if any, from these proposed activities will seldom interact with marine and pelagic birds; therefore, the effects will be negligible.

There are no other IPFs (including effluents, physical disturbances to the seafloor, or wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal) from the proposed activities which could impact marine and pelagic birds.

3. Public Health and Safety Due to Accidents.

There are no IPFs (emissions, effluents, physical disturbances to the seafloor, wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal or accidents, including an accidental H_2S release) from the proposed activities which could cause impacts to public health and safety. In accordance with NTL No.'s 2008-G04, 2009-G27, and 2009-G31, sufficient information is included in **Section 4** to justify our request that our proposed activities be classified by BSEE as H_2S absent.

Coastal and Onshore

1. Beaches

IPFs from the proposed activities that could cause impacts to beaches include accidents (oil spills) and discarded trash and debris.

Accidents: Oil spills contacting beaches would have impacts on the use of recreational beaches and associated resources. Due to the distance from shore (65 miles) and the response capabilities that would be implemented, no significant adverse impacts are expected. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional OSRP (refer to information submitted in **Section 8**).

Discarded trash and debris: Trash on the beach is recognized as a major threat to the enjoyment and use of beaches. There will only be a limited amount of marine debris, if any, resulting from the proposed activities. Operators are prohibited from deliberately discharging debris as mandated by MARPOL-Annex V and the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act, and regulations imposed by various agencies including the United States Coast Guard (USCG) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Walter will operate in accordance with the regulations and also avoid accidental loss of solid waste items by maintaining waste management plans, manifesting trash sent to shore, and using special precautions such as covering outside trash bins to prevent accidental loss of solid waste. Special caution will be exercised when handling and disposing of small items and packaging materials, particularly those made of non-biodegradable, environmentally persistent materials such as plastic or glass.

Informational placards will be posted on all vessels and facilities having sleeping or food preparation capabilities. All offshore personnel, including contractors and other support services-related personnel (e.g. helicopter pilots, vessel captains and boat crews) will be indoctrinated on waste procedures, and will view the video (or Microsoft PowerPoint presentation), "Think About It" (*previously "All Washed Up: The Beach Litter Problem"*). Thereafter, all personnel will view the marine trash and debris training video annually. Offshore personnel will also receive an explanation from Walter management or the designated lease operator management that emphasizes their commitment to waste management in accordance with NTL No. 2015-G03-BSEE.

There are no other IPFs (emissions, effluents, physical disturbances to the seafloor, or wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal) from the proposed activities which could impact beaches.

2. Wetlands

IPFs from the proposed activities that could cause impacts to wetlands include accidents (oil spills) and discarded trash and debris.

Accidents: It is unlikely that an oil spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to **Item 5**, Water Quality). Due to the distance from shore (65 miles) and the response capabilities that would be implemented, no impacts are expected. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional OSRP (refer to information submitted in **Section 8**).

Discarded trash and debris: There will only be a limited amount of marine debris, if any, resulting from the proposed activities. Operators are prohibited from deliberately discharging debris as mandated by MARPOL-Annex V and the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act, and regulations imposed by various agencies including the United States Coast Guard (USCG) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Walter will operate in accordance with the regulations and also avoid accidental loss of solid waste items by maintaining waste management plans, manifesting trash sent to shore, and using special precautions such as covering outside trash bins to prevent accidental loss of solid waste. Special caution will be exercised when handling and disposing of small items and packaging materials, particularly those made of non-biodegradable, environmentally persistent materials such as plastic or glass.

Informational placards will be posted on all vessels and facilities having sleeping or food preparation capabilities. All offshore personnel, including contractors and other support services-related personnel (e.g. helicopter pilots, vessel captains and boat crews) will be indoctrinated on waste procedures, and will view the video (or Microsoft PowerPoint presentation), "Think About It" (*previously "All Washed Up: The Beach Litter Problem"*). Thereafter, all personnel will view the marine trash and debris training video annually. Offshore personnel will also receive an explanation from Walter management or the designated lease operator management that emphasizes their commitment to waste management in accordance with NTL No. 2015-G03-BSEE.

There are no other IPFs (emissions, effluents, physical disturbances to the seafloor, or wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal) from the proposed activities which could impact wetlands.

3. Shore Birds and Coastal Nesting Birds

Accidents: Oil spills could cause impacts to shore birds and coastal nesting birds. However, it is unlikely that an oil spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to **Item 5**, Water Quality). Given the distance from shore (65 miles) and the response capabilities that would be implemented, no impacts are expected. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional OSRP (refer to information submitted in **Section 8**).

Discarded trash and debris: Coastal and marine birds are highly susceptible to entanglement in floating, submerged, and beached marine debris: specifically plastics. Operators are prohibited from deliberately discharging debris as mandated by MARPOL-Annex V and the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act, and regulations imposed by various agencies including the United States Coast Guard (USCG) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Walter will operate in accordance with the regulations and also avoid accidental loss of solid waste items by maintaining waste management plans, manifesting trash sent to shore, and using special precautions such as covering outside trash bins to prevent accidental loss of solid waste. Special caution will be exercised when handling and disposing of small items and packaging materials, particularly those made of non-biodegradable, environmentally persistent materials such as plastic or glass.

Informational placards will be posted on vessels and every facility that has sleeping or food preparation capabilities. All offshore personnel, including contractors and other support services-related personnel (e.g. helicopter pilots, vessel captains and boat crews) will be indoctrinated on waste procedures, and will view the video (or Microsoft PowerPoint presentation), "Think About It" (*previously "All Washed Up: The Beach Litter Problem"*). Thereafter, all personnel will view the marine trash and debris training video annually. Offshore personnel will also receive an explanation from Walter management or the designated lease operator management that emphasizes their commitment to waste management in accordance with NTL No. 2015-G03-BSEE.

There are no other IPFs (emissions, effluents, physical disturbances to the seafloor, or wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal) from the proposed activities that could cause impacts to shore birds and coastal nesting birds.

4. Coastal Wildlife Refuges

Accidents: An accidental oil spill from the proposed activities could cause impacts to coastal wildlife refuges. However, it is unlikely that an oil spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to Item 5, Water Quality). Due to the distance from shore (65 miles) and the response capabilities that would be implemented, no impacts are expected. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional OSRP (refer to information submitted in **Section 8**).

Discarded trash and debris: Operators are prohibited from deliberately discharging debris as mandated by MARPOL-Annex V, the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act and regulations imposed by various agencies including the United States Coast Guard (USCG) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Walter will operate in accordance with the regulations and also avoid accidental loss of solid waste items by maintaining waste management plans, manifesting trash sent to shore, and using special precautions such as covering outside trash bins to prevent accidental loss of solid waste. Special caution will be exercised when handling and disposing of small items and packaging materials, particularly those made of non-biodegradable, environmentally persistent materials such as plastic or glass.

Informational placards will be posted on vessels and every facility that has sleeping or food preparation capabilities. All offshore personnel, including contractors and other support services-related personnel (e.g. helicopter pilots, vessel captains and boat crews) will be indoctrinated on waste procedures, and will view the video (or Microsoft PowerPoint presentation), "Think About It" (*previously "All Washed Up: The Beach Litter Problem"*). Thereafter, all personnel will view the marine trash and debris training video annually. Offshore personnel will also receive an explanation from Walter management or the designated lease operator management that emphasizes their commitment to waste management in accordance with NTL No. 2015-G03-BSEE.

There are no other IPFs (emissions, effluents, physical disturbances to the seafloor, or wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal) from the proposed activities that could cause impacts to coastal wildlife refuges.

5. Wilderness Areas

Accidents: An accidental oil spill from the proposed activities could cause impacts to wilderness areas. However, it is unlikely that an oil spill would occur from the proposed activities (refer to **Item 5**, Water Quality). Due to the distance from the nearest designated Wilderness Area (102 miles) and the response capabilities that would be implemented, no significant adverse impacts are expected. The activities proposed in this plan will be covered by Walter's Regional OSRP (refer to information submitted in **Section 8).**

Discarded trash and debris: Operators are prohibited from deliberately discharging debris as mandated by MARPOL-Annex V, the Marine Plastic Pollution Research and Control Act and regulations imposed by various agencies including the United States Coast Guard (USCG) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Walter will operate in accordance with the regulations and also avoid accidental loss of solid waste items by maintaining waste management plans, manifesting trash sent to shore, and using special precautions such as covering outside trash bins to prevent accidental loss of solid waste. Special caution will be exercised when handling and disposing of small items and packaging materials, particularly those made of non-biodegradable, environmentally persistent materials such as plastic or glass.

Informational placards will be posted on vessels and every facility that has sleeping or food preparation capabilities. All offshore personnel, including contractors and other support services-related personnel (e.g. helicopter pilots, vessel captains and boat crews) will be indoctrinated on waste procedures, and will view the video (or Microsoft PowerPoint presentation), "Think About It" (*previously "All Washed Up: The Beach Litter Problem"*). Thereafter, all personnel will view the marine trash and debris training video annually. Offshore personnel will also receive an explanation from Walter management or the designated lease operator management that emphasizes their commitment to waste management in accordance with NTL No. 2015-G03-BSEE.

There are no other IPFs (emissions, effluents, physical disturbances to the seafloor, or wastes sent to shore for treatment or disposal) from the proposed activities that could cause impacts to wilderness areas.

6. Other Environmental Resources Identified

There are no other environmental resources identified for this impact assessment.

(C) IMPACTS ON PROPOSED ACTIVITIES

The site–specific environmental conditions have been taken into account for the proposed activities. No impacts are expected on the proposed activities from site-specific environmental conditions.

(D) ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS

During the hurricane season, June through November, the Gulf of Mexico is impacted by an average of ten tropical storms (39-73 mph winds), of which six become hurricanes (> 74 mph winds). Due to its location in the gulf, Ewing Bank Block 833 may experience hurricane and tropical storm force winds, and related sea currents. These factors can adversely impact the integrity of the operations covered by this plan. A significant storm may present physical hazards to operators and vessels, damage exploration or production equipment, or result in the release of hazardous materials (including hydrocarbons). Additionally, the displacement of equipment may disrupt the local benthic habitat and pose a threat to local species.

The following preventative measures included in this plan may be implemented to mitigate these impacts:

1. Drilling & completion
    a. Secure well
    b. Secure rig / platform
    c. Evacuate personnel

Drilling activities will be conducted in accordance with NTL No.'s 2008-G09, 2009-G10, and 2010-N10.

2. Structure Installation

Operator will not conduct structure installation operations during Tropical Storm or Hurricane threat.

(E) ALTERNATIVES

No alternatives to the proposed activities were considered to reduce environmental impacts.

(F) MITIGATION MEASURES

No mitigation measures other than those required by regulation will be employed to avoid, diminish, or eliminate potential impacts on environmental resources.

(G) CONSULTATION

No agencies or persons were consulted regarding potential impacts associated with the proposed activities. Therefore, a list of such entities has not been provided.

(H) PREPARER(S)

Jami Christley
J. Connor Consulting, Inc.
19219 Katy Freeway, Suite 200
Houston, Texas 77094
281-578-3388
jami.christley@jccteam.com

(I) REFERENCES

Authors:

American Petroleum Institute (API). 1989. Effects of offshore petroleum operations on cold water marine mammals: a literature review. Washington, DC: American Petroleum Institute. 385 pp.

Balazs, G.H. 1985. Impact of ocean debris on marine turtles: entanglement and ingestion. In: Shomura, R.S. and H.O. Yoshida, eds. Proceedings, Workshop on the Fate and Impact of Marine Debris, 26-29 November 1984, Honolulu, HI. U.S. Dept. of Commerce. NOAA Tech. Memo. NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFC-54. Pp 387-429.

Burke, C.J. and J.A. Veil. 1995. Potential benefits from regulatory consideration of synthetic drilling muds. Environmental Assessment Division, Argonne National Laboratory, ANL/EAD/TM-43.

Daly, J.M. 1997. Controlling the discharge of synthetic-based drilling fluid contaminated cuttings in waters of the United States. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water. Work Plan, June 24, 1997.

Hansen, D.J. 198l. The relative sensitivity of seabird populations in Alaska to oil pollution. U.S. Dept. of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Alaska OCS Region, Anchorage. BLM-YK-ES-81-006-1792.

Laist, D.W. 1997. Impacts of marine debris: entanglement of marine life in marine debris including a comprehensive list of species with entanglement and ingestion records. In: Coe, J.M. and D.B. Rogers, eds. Marine debris: sources, impacts, and solutions. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag. Pp. 99-139.

Majors, A.P. and A.C. Myrick, Jr. 1990. Effects of noise on animals: implications for dolphins exposed to seal bombs in the eastern tropical Pacific purse-seine fishery–an annotated bibliography. NOAA Administrative Report LJ-90-06.

Marine Mammal Commission. 1999. Annual report to Congress – 1998.

Piatt, J.F., C.J. Lensink, W. Butler, M. Kendziorek, and D.R. Nysewander. 1990. Immediate impact of the Exxon Valdez oil spill on marine birds. The Auk. 107 (2): 387-397

Vauk , G., E. Hartwig, B. Reineking, and E. Vauk-Hentzelt. 1989. Losses of seabirds by oil pollution at the German North Sea coast. Topics in Marine Biology. Ros, J.D, ed. Scient. Mar. 53 (2-3): 749-754.

Vermeer, K. and R. Vermeer, 1975 Oil threat to birds on the Canadian west coast. The Canadian Field-Naturalist. 89:278-298.

Although not cited, the following were utilized in preparing this EIA:

- Hazard Surveys
- BOEM EIS's:
  - GOM Deepwater Operations and Activities. Environmental Assessment. BOEM 2000-001
  - GOM Central and Western Planning Areas Sales 166 and 168 Final Environmental Impact Statement. BOEM 96-0058.

SECTION 16
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

16.1 EXEMPTED INFORMATION DESCRIPTION

The proposed bottomhole location of the planned well has been removed from the Public Information copy of this EP as well as any discussions of the target objectives, geologic or geophysical data, and interpreted geology.

16.2 BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Initial Exploration Plan (Control No. N-9996)